

Cultstate Articles

New Emotion

[Apr 7 2018]

[The Butterfly War](#) described the concept of **cyber phrenology** and detailed how make automated censorship platforms target unintended targets.

Here's the most comprehensive timeline of contributing factors for the idea:

- **2003-2012:** I engaged in extensive research and experimentation for the ideas within my book, *"The Empath"*. The story is about an AI platform that consumes huge amounts of Internet activity to understand and predict human emotions. These predictions are then used to influence and control powerful economic and political institutions while hiding its influence as genuine human activity. There's an entire crazy story behind my efforts to write this book that I will release that at a later date.
- **Aug 23rd, 2012:** NodeJS contributors and GitHub employees [colluded to intimidate and silence me because](#) I complained about male engineers acting strangely around female engineers. They tried to get me fired from my job and attempted to blacklist me from the tech field entirely at a time when my now adopted daughter lived in dire and extreme poverty.
- **2013-2016:** I went into hiding and [started social experimentations on how to fuse politics with cyberculture](#). My efforts gained traction with [my involvement in the origins of GamerGate](#) which served as a testing ground for my ideas from the then unpublished Butterfly War.
- **Between 2012 and 2016,** Multiple websites I owned experienced a wide and sustained campaign of [DDoS](#), [server compromise](#), [SEO poisoning](#), and even a [visit by a hired "cyber forensics contractor" with ties to high-profile clients and multi-national law enforcement backing](#). References were made to an inner circle of Silicon Valley employees using their back office channels to unilaterally target political opponents, just as NodeJS and GitHub had done to me in 2012. **We both agreed that I was setup to look like I was running a massive illegal phishing operation.**
- **Aug 26th, 2016:** CultState released ["The Planned Destruction of the Alt-Right"](#) which went semi-viral in certain circles. It explained, at a high level, how state actors could be using the repeal of the Smith-Mundt Act to stage political destabilization tactics on American soil.
- **Nov 9th, 2016:** The impossible happened: Donald Trump won the election for the United States Presidency.
- **Aug 2016 - Sep 2017:** I was employed at Rubicon Project as an engineer to understand the finer details on how ad exchanges work. I demonstrated enough understanding of the industry to make a recommendation for a buyer to the CEO when the company was severe performance problems.
- **May 15th, 2017:** After months of research and evaluation of ad exchanges, I received a call from A** ***** (I'm not ready to reveal that one yet!) to explore the feasibility of my plan to eliminate the political power of ad exchanges. The plan was sent to Cambridge Analytica for analysis. (Yes, this actually happened)
- **Sept 21th, 2017*:** I was used as a source of the Playboy article on Milo Yiannopolous and managed to establish a rapport with several Gizmodo editors.
- **Sept 26th, 2017*:** I offered brutally candid insight to Gizmodo on what the Butterfly War had achieved so far in the hopes that my efforts circulate. I knew they wouldn't

run any story about me, but I did believe they would circulate it among their [private progressive journalist networks and their political handlers and financiers](#). A snippet (more will be released later): [“I’m not going to salt Perspective and train it to be culturally rebellious like Tay. I’m going to show anyone how to take control of it at will... and butterflies are the key. Perspective is part of a series of policies and networks that churn through metadata and find psychographic correlations to automate mass social steering. The public can cry about censorship until they are blue in the face and claim they hate Big Brother, but as long as they hold tightly to their precious cellphones and use them to light up their neural chemistry with synthetic social interactions, there is no stopping that data collection or its analysis. Perspective might not be the winner of all time, but it does show to state actors with nearly unlimited budgets that such technology is possible.”](#) To drive home the seriousness of my claims, I proposed a scenario explaining how /pol/ and the intelligence communities of multiple nations sometimes influence one another.

- **Oct 13th, 2017:** [CultState goes public with the Butterfly War and explained how to take down former CEO and HNIC of Google, Eric Schmidt, by exposing their usage of cyber phrenology](#)
- **Oct 31st, 2017:** [8chan made Jewdar shortly after, a tool used to scan faces to figure out who and who was not Jewish. This effort proved cyber phrenology was very possible with off-the-shelf facial recognition technology.](#)
- **Dec 11th, 2017:** [Chamath Palihapitiya, Facebook’s former vice president, admitted that “The short-term dopamine-driven feedback loops that we have created are destroying how society works.”](#)
- **Dec 14th, 2017:** [CultState’s ideas showed up on r/The Donald and received 1.1k upvotes. The post explained how to exposed Silicon Valley’s cyber phrenology usage to turn California red](#)
- **Dec 17th, 2017:** [Eric Schmidt, former CEO of Google and executive director of Alphabet’s board, steps down for mysterious reasons.](#)
- **Dec 25th, 2017,** CultState’s WordPress account is [compromised](#). This account [detailed Silicon Valley’s ability to engage in collusion and intimidation against political targets](#). I suspected this new round of collusion was retaliatory and had finally involved WordPress as well. I raise the alarm that [WordPress’s silence on Guccifer2.0 is suspicious since they are holding his metadata.](#)
- **Dec 27th, 2017:** Yair Rosenberg put out a [NY Times piece of him gloating about using very bad cyber phrenology](#) and Twitter bans the bot soon after. CultState had already reached out to Gizmodo months ago to poison that well so others couldn’t get a foothold on the cyber phrenology narrative.
- **Dec 31st, 2017:** [CultState engaged with 8chan in a post has been alive for almost four months, explaining the complex origins and motivations behind the Butterfly War. A post lasting that long is extremely rare in Chan history.](#)
- **Jan 6th, 2018** NPM, founded by [Isaac Z. Schlueter](#) lost track of a [few dozen packages](#) for 30 minutes due to overzealous security protocols.
- **Jan 9th, 2018:** [Senator Diane Feinstein leaks the Fusion GPS transcripts to counter President Trump’s assertion of fabrication](#), Fusion GPS and CrowdStrike are hired by Perkins Coie, and CrowdStrike asserts that Guccifer 2.0 is a Russian hacker that hacked the DNC.
- **Jan 10th, 2018:** [Project Veritas reveals a video showing how Silicon Valley employees willfully collude to suppress political opinions they don’t like.](#)
- **Mar 13th, 2018:** [Brennan Gilmore, the State Department employee at Charlottesville, the event that CultState predicted, launched a civil suit against sites who cited suspicion about his involvement in the matter](#)

- **Mar 15th, 2018:** [4chan received a leak from a Google employee and it detailed their usage of bots to fabricate political consensus and trick politicians and market traders.](#)
- **Mar 16th, 2018:** [The Facebook and Cambridge Analytica scandal blew wide open and Facebook suspended SCL](#)
- **Mar 22nd, 2018:** [Mueller pivoted to get a foothold on the Guccifer 2.0 narrative in anticipation of disgruntled Silicon Valley employees leaking data. While Facebook most likely has little on G2.0, those top-level social media tech employees all know each other and have spent the last ten years using their unofficial back channels, administrative permissions, and bribes to punish political opponents with total impunity. What happened to me in 2012 is proof that such back channels exist in Silicon Valley.](#)
- **Mar 23rd, 2018:** [Facebook gave poor damage control, but quickly demonstrated its concerns about employees leaking important data. \(These were key goals of the Butterfly War\)](#)
- **Apr 4th, 2018:** [Google employees protested the Maven project, a effort between the Department of Defense and Google to make autonomous drones.](#)
- **Mar 25th, 2018** [I made an unpredictable move and released a hip-hop song that contained clues about the Silicon Valley actors who went after me.](#)

When I initially chronicled these events, I had done so via an [impromptu AMA on 8chan that has been active for almost four months](#). The community's response yielded an extremely unexpected outcome. The curiosity, interplay, and revelations were genuine enough to make me start organizing my efforts in a more formal and accessible way. Ever since I started [The Abusive Oracle](#), I've left hidden and complicated clues everywhere to protect myself and to send signals to certain types of personalities. After everything that has been going on, I believe the world just might finally be ready to tackle [the problem of the new emotion](#) and what it means for national security, domestic affairs, economic arrangements, and human destiny.

If all goes as planned, next week will bring this act of the story to an end. To verify my involvement in that upcoming event, this SHA-512 was generated based on a sentence involving the names and actions of the event that will take place. I injected a newline after every 32 characters to improve readability on mobile devices:

4e80da482f38dbe5cea52278b9f92

1234

1d2ff3ac8db52b141fd8d448d51c56597
 ffd346eb6e6acd472a64613a1e2a4e393
 66ab591925ceb6a20d63ec36b4d431ec8

By Friday of next week, I will release the sentence used to generate the hash above. I used the following command on a Debian-flavor of Linux:

```
echo "<my hidden message to reveal next friday" | sha512sum
```

UPDATE: I had begun writing this on January 10th, 2018 and the date mispublished. I've since updated the date and URL to reflect the date the article was actually released. (Thanks [@eli schiff](#))

Gnostic Warfare

[Jun 2 2018]

Gnostic Warfare is a holistic analysis of attack vectors focused on compromising the common elements shared between multiple modes of cognition. Psychological operations target human biases, but cognitive operations target shared traits between human, machine, animal, neural, and hybrid methodologies of cognitive, approximate cognition, and anti-cognition. [Butterfly War](#) is an example of a cognitive operation, Its battlespace is defined not only by the psychological and ideological biases of the human operators and owners of an AI platform, but also by the epistemological limitations of the AI platform itself.

Elements of Butterfly War have since been [experimented upon and understood by many actors](#), both state and non-state. To help people understand how to execute and improve upon such campaigns themselves, they will need to study the relationships between information entropy, evolutionary psychology, and the mechanics of cognitive equilibrium. These are not simple concepts by themselves and they are even more difficult to bring together. That's why I've begun a training and outreach operation to help answer the many questions Butterfly War has unleashed. [Milanote](#) is a fantastic brainstorming app and I highly recommend it. Over time, as people build their own Milanote boards, we can connect them all together and form an ecosystem dedicated to Gnostic Warfare.

- [Training Overview](#): This is a central hub for Gnostic Warfare. In addition to training, up-to-date information about Gnostic Warfare operations will be posted here.
- [Gnostic Warfare Discussion](#): If you have any questions, visit our text-only discussion board on 8chan.
- [Information Theory Overview](#): Discover how information is represented and how to exploit the limitations within those representations.
- [Epistemology Overview](#): Epistemology is the philosophy of knowledge. A book is made up of many letters and data, but only when you read it will the data have the chance to become knowledge. Any limitations of machine learning can be discovered through this philosophy.
- [Cognition Overview](#): Explore how the neuron evolved over two billion years and what it means for machine learning, neurology, and the nature of reality.
- [Machine Learning Overview](#): Understand the means of collecting, analyzing, and categorizing huge amounts of data and review the many machine learning techniques used to extract meaningful knowledge.
- [Geopolitics Overview](#): The history of the world through the lens of gold, from Croesus of Lydia to Crown Prince Mohammad bin Salman bin Abdulaziz Al Saud of Saudi Arabia.
- [Legal Overview](#): Coming soon!
- [Organization and Leadership Overview](#): Coming soon!
- [Finance Overview](#): Coming soon!

To ensure these materials persist, the Milanote boards have been exported as PDFs and Markdown files and will be placed on IPFS.

To help get people started with seeing the world the way machine learning sees it, I've written some JavaScript to load into your 8chan User JS settings. It will perform a naive

Bayesian classification and sentiment analysis on 8chan posts. It looks like this:

The image shows a screenshot of four posts from 8chan, each with an AI-generated sentiment category and a color-coded background. Red arrows point to the category labels, and red text explains the color coding.

- Post 1:** Anonymous [DTkTwN0a] 05/16/18 (Wed) 00:45:47 ID: e7f9f5 No.43. Content: "d&c skill". Category: Threatened. Background: Light blue. Annotation: "Negative sentiment intensity is represented by increasing amounts of red" with a red arrow pointing to "Threatened".
- Post 2:** Anonymous [DTkTwN0a] 05/16/18 (Wed) 00:45:55 ID: e7f9f5 No.44. Content: "We discussed this in the ladt thread. If emotions can be considered a form of processing algorithm that speeds up our reaction to the w world around them (collectively referred to as the individual's worldview/weltanschauung) then the communication between two likemin respond to these cinversations as they do not have the emotional algorithm necessary to understand them." Category: Threatened. Background: Light blue.
- Post 3:** Anonymous [DTkTwN0a] 05/16/18 (Wed) 00:46:02 ID: e7f9f5 No.45. Content: "Hey CultState, care to give some investment advice? Shorting some of the big Silicon Valley companies seems like a good idea to me." Category: Helping. Background: Light green. Annotation: "Positive sentiment intensity is represented with a present green." with a red arrow pointing to "Helping".
- Post 4:** Anonymous [DTkTwN0a] 05/16/18 (Wed) 00:46:10 ID: e7f9f5 No.46. (Content is partially obscured).

See 8chan how AI sees it

This script will help get people started in Gnostic Warfare by allowing them to see reality the same way machine learning does.

You can review the [source code here](#).

Regarding the SHA512 hash from my [New Emotion](#) post, I am going back on my word and will not be revealing its contents. That SHA512 will have an impact on a midterm election that I do not wish to interfere with. I will reveal its contents at a later date.

What Is a Cult State?

[Jul 30 2018]

Three days after Barack Obama won his first term as President in 2008, [I created CultState.com](#). By that time, I had spent nearly a decade dealing with the hip-hop community in a variety of capacities. (mixer, producer, performer, programmer, etc.) The black American community has long been a guinea pig for mass disenfranchisement experiments: destruction of self-contained communities in favor of ineffective integration, watering down their communal identity by lumping it in with cosmopolitan humanism, targeted assassination and intimidation of key talent and influencers, the intentional promotion and intentional sacrifice of Messianic figures, and the accelerated mass fragmentation we lovingly labeled “individualism”. The purpose behind these experiments wasn’t just to counter the tremendous Soviet Russian agitation of yet another neglected demographic, but also how to successfully counter such collectivist agitation where ever it appears next. This was all performed with the now antiquated instruments of social psychology and 1950s-era “cutting edge” developments in sociology. The most successful means of stopping the viral effects of such planned agitation was to preemptively destroy each individual’s capacity and instincts to engage in spontaneous organization. It was as if Charles Darwin, Friedrich Nietzsche, and Ayn Rand decided to raise a community of children and then suddenly abandon them on the island in Lord of the Flies.

I had spent one-fifth of my life living in the fallout of that policy during my most impressionable years. I had witnessed first hand how rampant drug use, alcoholism, mass parental negligence, and displacement of productivity by economy-of-scale supermarkets and department stores wiped out community bonds and relationships. I had personally been targeted with sustained physical, psychological, and violent sexual abuse by these intentionally feral “adult humans”. For the first five years of my life, I lived in a city that experienced three murders a day. Hip-hop culture didn’t appeal to me because I wanted be some edgy white kid. Those early conditions became the only reality I really understood well and anything stable and consistent was alien. I had been bounced between six sets of parents/guardians by the time I was ten, so I had no point of reference for what “white culture” was or how to relate to “middle class” kids. The two lingering aspects I’ve retained from that ordeal was to read people’s behavior and evaluate their turmoil exposure, and the music created by that turmoil. The latter would become a ten year profession, but the former would become my foundation for everything else.

Music is an essential and naturally occurring expression of organic collectivism. Under the conditions of shared suffering based on easily-identifiable characteristics such as race, music acts as a functional conduit of intuitive identity communication. With such a powerful foundation of collective expression, your shared identity synchronizes the behavior of your peers into amazing places and outcomes. Unfortunately, adversaries can poison, disrupt, and undermine that identity steer the mass behavior into horrifying places as well.

The Internet started as a fun place to explore, but it was destined to be the infrastructure for a massively multi-player continuous Skinner box. The level of precise measurement and control over the exposure and propagation of cultural identifiers was unprecedented. That control over the flow of culture would eventually become the forefront of a new means of organic collectivism: mass spontaneous distributed collectivism. Hip-hop was very much the

music of a warrior culture. Violence, respect, and camaraderie are extremely common themes. In this new Internet world, resolving conflict through violence wasn't possible, so "battling" (rap competitions for the unaware) became the primary means of conflict resolution. The art of battling was never intended to take on that role, especially in a medium where crowd reaction could not be intuitively inferred. This led to endlessly escalating disputes that no clear victor could emerge from, and thus, no respect could be established. By November 4th of 2008, after many years of dispute and community conflict caused by this new reality, I had realized the Internet's ability to convert pre-Internet cultures I had been a part of had become Skinner box microcosms of Marxian permanent revolution. What started as an intuitive understanding of norms and shared suffering eventually became meaningless ritual. Without violent resolution to create clear winners and losers, all norms had lost their functional character and, instead, we found ourselves leveraging the attention economy to evaluate status and supremacy. The symbols of the culture, crucial to identification and context acquisition, became more important to defend than the experiences the culture created. Protecting whatever mythology that managed to game the Skinner box the most effectively became a higher priority than protecting the people that made up the fabric of that mythology. The individual contributions to **steer the mythology** of the tribe went from dark mystical work to a fumbling pseudoscience to the weekend activity of a bored script kiddie. Few properly recognized what was happening, but I believed because of this gradual reality replacement, we had unwittingly slipped into a **cult**. We no longer owned our communal outcomes and, instead, we served the Web 2.0 technopriest caste: *We create the content, they collect the paycheck.*

The election of Barack Obama had brilliantly demonstrated the complete and total conversion of a suffering people, rife with angst and frustration, into a pliable cult that would end up worshiping the very institutions that brought them suffering in the first place. By embodying the flow of all prior mythology into a single man, it was possible to conflate the suffering of the black American experience with completely unrelated Jewish, homosexual, transgender, and other Weimerian manufactured mythologies. The experience of the ghetto had become commoditized as a badge of purchasable authenticity. The 1960s monopoly on resistance turned into a subscription service. The ambitious and clever were mass incarcerated, leaving only the docile and the suggestable. And the blackmail... the endless amount of blackmail, extortion, and forced cooperation made possible by ever-expanding laws... it fractured whatever remaining bonds existed within that community to the point phrases like "snitches get stitches" became half meme, half policy. I had witnessed the complete life cycle of a **cult state** within my own lifetime, but because I had witnessed it alone, I was aggressively targeted by the flock who simply couldn't see how boiled their frogs were. Upon further inspect, I concluded this technique of steering mythology wasn't invented solely for the purpose of controlling the behavior of the black American experience. It had been done to hundreds of thousands of communities throughout history. To ask the question "*What did the black community do to deserve this fate?*" is the wrong question that sadly accelerates the intended downward spiral. It's important to realize that myths compete for cultural assets and that competition consumes and enlists all other domains of human activity such as industry, commerce, defense, religion, and science. Because of the explosive nature of this competition, steering mythology also steers the outcome of all domains claimed by mythology. This means that steering mythology is not only the secret of civil order, it is the full-time job of those who preserve influence over all civil order. Instead of asking why did one culture have their mythology steered so horribly, let us start with another question, "*Who else was having their mythologies steered?*"

In 2016, a massive scandal involving South Korean President Park Geun-hye and Choi Soon-

sil resulted in a collapse of the South Korean government. Soon-sil was the daughter of Choi Tae-min, a cult leader and influential personality considered the “[Korean Rasputin](#)”. Tae-min’s influence over Park’s was [cited as a reason the former director of the KCIA assassinated Park’s father](#). Soon-sil would eventually comfort Park after the loss of both parents and build a relationship that would exert tremendous influence over the future president. What were the Chois doing? How did mere cult leader manage to secure the kind of influence that could be passed down to his daughter?

Tae-min’s cult was born in the 1970s, a period well-documented for its dramatic rise of cults in all nations under Western influence. The 1960s had made ridiculous promises of material Utopia to counter the ridiculous reality of global nuclear annihilation. It was a period where people grew angry at blustering nation-states driving even the most minor disputes into an instantly escalating into a game of nuclear chicken. This generation wanted out of the impending mutual mass destruction and were willing to do *whatever it takes* to keep the Earth free of nuclear bombardment. **Whatever it takes.** We often hear that phrase thrown around in popular media, but few are truly capable of executing on those guidelines. When nuclear annihilation is on the table, an individuals dedication to such guidelines still isn’t enough. You have to also make sure other people do **whatever it takes** to prevent the nukes from going off, even if they don’t want to. *Even if it is against their own immediate interests.* By arrangement of the Cold War, the Koreans were predestined to become one of the first dominoes for cascading nuclear Armageddon, thus, they were predestined to be one of the most susceptible to doomsday cult leaders like Tae-min.

Each nation has heads of state, politicians, bankers, generals, and popular entertainers to help steer the mythology of that nation. When faced with the threat of nuclear catastrophe, those key people have to be made to do **whatever it takes** (and again, even against their own immediate interests) to ensure their own survival and to prevent them of even accidentally escalating conflict in a complex geopolitical game involving nuclear arms. Does a rowdy politician have to be put down? Does an impatient general need to be put into check? Does an entertainer with a loud mouth need to be silenced? You could assassinate any one of these theoretical players, but then you have to contend with their remaining influence networks and now you have a whole mess on your hands that will take decades to manage. It’s best if these players of influence managed themselves. What if blackmail could be planted on these players in a way which would destroy the social and political reputation of powerful people and decouple them from their influence? If that were possible, then that player can be made to play by the rules, even if it was against their own interests. If a whole network of blackmailed assets were made in such a manner, you could steer geopolitical ambitions in a more predictable and managed way. You could, theoretically, assert control over the playing field and minimize unintended nuclear escalation.

Each nation has its own taboos, but some of those taboos can change over time with media and political pressure. For example, many countries, adultery and homosexuality is met with extreme social stigma, punishment, and even death. If one was to create blackmail that was nearly-universal and grand in scale, one would have to look no further than *violent pedophilia*. With the advent of film, a person can be coerced to engage in not only pedophilia behavior, but to also kill the child after wards while being filmed. This would bind the person and all of their influence to whoever owned the footage. As you scale this technique out to compromise more and more powerful people, you need more and more film footage, storage facilities, and... thousands upon thousands of children on a near annual basis. How does one create the necessary loyal handlers to facilitate this unbelievably gruesome and horrifying type of blackmail creation? A doomsday cult provides all of the trials and training needed to select for the most loyal who will go to any lengths to appease the faith.

Choi Soon-sil, the daughter of the doomsday cult leader Choi Tae-min and dear friend of South Korean President Park Geun-hye, used to run kindergartens between the 1980s and 2008. South Korea is a known point of distribution for human trafficking for many Western Asia countries. This combination of factors, when interpreted as infrastructure of a blackmail network, can destabilize entire governments and that is exactly why the director of the Korean Central Intelligence Agency mentioned his fear of Tae-min as a prime reason for the assassination of Geun-hye's father.

South Korea was a cult state.

NXIVM is a Amway-style multi-tier marketing company that sells self-improvement techniques. It was founded by Keith Raniere, an advertising executive and excessively charismatic personality. He successfully attracted high profile people to his cult and managed to bring in not just both Sara and Clare Bronfman, but a considerable chunk of their wealth as well. Sarah and Clare are the daughters of the former World Jewish Congress president Edgar Bronfman Sr. and heiresses to the Seagramm's estate. They are the half-sister of Edger Bronfman Jr., the former chairman of Warner Music Group.

The same Warner Music Group I used to work for. The same Warner Music Group that asked me to reverse engineer technologies that posed a file sharing threat. The same Warner Music Group where, on my very first day, I diplomatically purchased a bottle of everyone's favorite liquor to help head off future office turf battles. I hinted to all of this in the Soundcloud song "*Underbelly*" I released a month before Kanye went full Dragon Energy. This was the same Warner Music Group where I was on a proto-data science job to collect as much engagement and sales data as possible to answer the question "*How many records did this Tweet influence the sale on?*"

Bronfman Jr. left in January of 2012, six months after I was let go, and sacked a huge swath of the company. You can learn a lot about people's secrets when that kind of mass expulsion affects that many people. I certainly learned a lot. *Four* other nodes in my network are one-step removed from Clare and they all descended upon me once I went public on 8chan about my New Emotion theory. Needless to say, when Clare's \$100M bail was paid off, [I responded by putting out a precommitment](#) about **heresy**. Two months prior to that, a particularly "resourceful" player managed to get a copy of a file in a shared Google Drive account which contained the overview of a very specific type of technology that would be incredibly and irreversibly disruptive. The **heresy** precommitment message was exclusively for that player and their team. I'm still negotiating how best to handle these four nodes in my network as the situation evolves.

What was NXIVM doing? Their "research" fell under the category of improving "human potential". Their multi-tiered hierarchy is designed to promote commitment to loyalty. [NXIVM requested members to submit blackmail material about themselves to progress through the ranks](#). The higher they went, the more severe the blackmail, the more they could build out a workforce to perform illegal operations. NXIVM was deeply interested in unethical and unmonitored 1930s-style experimentation upon human states and conditions. [A simple search will reveal some of their more unorthodox experimentations](#) They not only claimed to research, understand, measure, and improve human potential, but they found people who were seeking the same thing, both as members and as investors.

What's so important about unlocking human potential? Why aren't we good enough as we are right now? We may have gone from stone-wielding tribals to Twitter-wielding tribals in about 5,000 years, but we've also unlocked a great many secrets about nature to help us out

along the way. Arguably, our talents and potential is good enough for the original order of things, but what about the nuclear order of things? Is the baseline human condition, with its emotional messiness and propensity for tribal spite, sufficient to navigate a world where nuclear escalation is always one Tweet away? Once again, we find ourselves in the situation where our capacity to destroy everything has to be *managed*... and once again, blackmail is essential to create the loyalty to make people act in a manner that is management-compliant. South Korea is just one of the almost two hundred nation Nuclear World Order where each members loyalty is enforced via a blackmail network of violent pedophilia. NXIVM's marketing exploited the fears announced by the Nuclear World Order to discover ways to make the human condition better suited for this nuclear arrangement. [The two not only channeled the same fear to justify their actions, but they have a logistical overlap for blackmail network management as well.](#)

Those of you who have followed my work will remember I've make a bold prediction where "[psychohistory becomes a real science](#)" I not only meant psychohistory in the obvious Asimovian manner, but also in the less obvious [Liftonian manner](#). His work on [Thought Reform and Psychology of Totalism](#) explains all of the steps required to create a completely false reality for large numbers of people. His study of the subject focused on the Chinese communist ability to "brainwash" American servicemen and Chinese citizenry into ideological compliance.(1) Here is a great critical analysis that applies Lifton's work to [NVIXM's "Executive Success Program" product](#). It paints a picture that NXIVM engaged in Liftonian *totalism*, or the practice of establishing total control over human behavior and thought. Lifton's 1999 book "*Destroying the World to Save It*" applies his totalism concept to the cult founded by recently-executed leader of the Japanese doomsday cult Aum Shinrikyo who ordered a sarin attack on a Japanese subway in 1995. He stated players such as Aum are the prototype of what kind of leadership will dominate in a world that continues to fragment its collective identity and cultural consistency.

South Korea has been ground zero for a severe nuclear escalation, allowing the Chois to flourish. Japan has been the recipient of repeated nuclear attacks and had collectively experienced ego death, allowing Aum Shinrikyo to flourish. NXIVM targeted Americans who grew up during the threat of mutually assured destruction, allowing Keith Raniere to flourish. Are you beginning to see the connection? The human psyche did not evolve to endure under the sustained threat of global nuclear destruction and it should be of no surprise the subsequent aberrant behavior caused by those conditions will be not only be exploited, but intentionally cultivated for the sake of exploitation. Those types of leaders excel that steering mythology because they find ways to **BECOME** the center of communal mythology.

Lifton is technically incomplete but essentially correct in his analysis. The central mythology of all cult states, present and future, will be this: *Not only is the world doomed from a menagerie of candidates (nuclear catastrophe, demographic displacement, AI killing machines, environmental disaster, destruction of Westphalia comprehensions, etc.), but each of us has an impulsive drive to accidentally accelerate that collective doom. Therefore, only those who can enforce and ensure loyalty are destined to rule because the collective is too fragmented to reach actionable consensus.* The most effective way to establish loyalty across the world stage is through he footage and kompromat generated by violent pedophilia blackmail networks. This creates a *One Ring* scenario which allows for the owner of those videos to bend corporations, politicians, generals, and bankers to the owner's will, regardless of communal consensus. It will also attract the most ruthless players who want such immense power for themselves. This blackmail network is essential to managing the actors within nuclear paradigm in a predictable manner, but technological advancements are beginning to

directly threaten the management of the blackmail network itself. The two biggest factors are Moore's Law and the rise of Gnostic Warfare.

Moore's Law states the number of transistors in a dense integrated circuit doubles about every two years. By doubling the transistor density, the time to perform information labor halves. This results in the reduction of price for media creation, data analysis, and data collection while simultaneously increasing its distribution potential. This also drives an increase of the volume of domestic and foreign signal analysis competition against bloated, unaccountable centralized state-backed intelligence agencies. As Moore's Law makes information processing cheaper, the blackmail network that keeps the nuclear paradigm stable can be discovered, evaluated, and captured in part or in whole by any upcoming competitor. Deterring this outcome has become increasingly difficult for state actors as processors, data centers, and data science techniques become cheaper and more abundant. The Hapsburg-dominated Church once experienced this same exact problem within the context of the printing press, and in a way, the transistor is the American printing press, but that's an analysis for another day.

The insurance file for Julian Assange is a massive collection of encrypted data. The unencrypted contents of that file have kept him alive through two administrations. This has made him a difficult person to make an example out of because I suspect within that insurance file is a significant number of video footage, images, and information about the blackmail network. Upon Assange's death, his deadman switch will activate, and the key to unlock those files will be available to all who have downloaded the file. What options do central intelligence agencies have to thwart this trend?

- Isolate Assange and compromise Wikileaks to help ruin their perception. None of that stopped Snowden, the OPM leaks, or the DNC leaks.
- Identify and disrupt the communication methodologies of supporters/insurance file benefactors. None of that stopped the GamerGate, MAGA, or the Q phenomenon.
- Disrupt DNS infrastructure to prevent key distribution. That doesn't stop sneakernet/old school semaphore deadman switches.
- Slander supporters with the power of mainstream media. None of that stopped the election of Trump.
- Normalize and inevitably legalize pedophilia to reduce the stigma and penalty of the guilty. These kinds of initiatives currently aren't trending very well and are expected to result in tremendous political backlash.
- Shut down the entire Internet to prevent insurance file distribution. This would be suicidal for the guilty since that kind of blackout also means unrestrained mass detainment of those within the insurance file.

The rise of [Gnostic Warfare](#) (cognitive operations that subvert both the psychology of humans and the analysis of neural networks) has procured additional tools that, when brought to scale, specifically counter many of these efforts:

- [Butterfly War](#): A strategy to bring direct executive branch involvement into social media companies for their civil rights violations caused by "*cyber phrenological*" practices that discriminate against minorities.
- [Incorrect Synonyms](#): A technique of intentionally using the wrong synonym in messages to expose interactive bots trying to push the normalization of pedophilia.
- [Heretical](#): Technology that can help narrow down the "shape" of prime numbers to dramatically reduce encryption cracking efforts. This is what my recent precommitment is about.

- **Icarus**: A total and complete pandemonium machine that destroys all media influence for good and will most certainly cause another Thirty Year's War. This is my deadman switch.

Each of these tools can cause serious problems for what the Qanons have lovingly dubbed “*clown world*” (Also known as *the Demiurge* in gnostic parlance.) It's important to understand that, no matter how the chess pieces position themselves, the CIA (a primary and self-funding organ of the Deep State) will not be able to operate with Cold War-era impunity. To make matters worse, they will violently resist every attempt at being reined in. Intra-gency conflict, private company competition, and spontaneous online hiveminds have steadily chipped away at their monopoly as praetorians over the past twenty years. Moore's Law will fuel the Gnostic Warfare to make the blackmail network more accessible to non-state actors. The technology of media has changed. Steering mythology has fundamentally changed. The infrastructure of the Nuclear World Order has not and it is being forced to change as we speak.

Over the next few weeks, I will begin to elaborate on the concept of **robotic nationalism** as a viable alternative to blackmail network management that not only successfully shields us from nuclear Armageddon, but prepares society to leverage space economics for its own material and social betterment. The spontaneous organization that was such a threat to the nuclear management model of humanity becomes an essential feature under **robotic nationalism**. As I've said in the past, [all of this ends in the conquest of the stars](#).

[[1]]: For the record, I have reservations about Lifton's conclusion in the *Protean Self* since his assumptions of a “*relativist society*” implies America being the sole bearer of relativism while everyone else gets to preserve their ethnic, racial, and national identities. A less popular, but functionally equivalent, model of “*relativist society*” can also be achieved by a network of individual nations preserving their culture against one another.

The Pivot

[Apr 30 2017]

I have not posted in some time because I wanted to watch the result of the election play out. I wanted to see what the emotional addicts, the social justice warriors, and the rest of the irrationals would do when their “*Right Side of History*” cult was deprived of executive privilege. I also wanted to see what the nationalists, [who have been long since been abandoned by the system of social and economic support](#), would do with their new found representation. Finally, I wanted to see what the [entrenched powers would do to protect their cult state](#).

The results are in after one hundred days: Nationalists, those who believe the productivity and output of their efforts belong to their people and neighbors, [are still reviled as racial supremacists](#) despite a pro-citizen theme having sufficient representation in the leadership position of the executive branch. In fact, the cult state [doubled down on the urgency to purge them from influence](#). Why?

Why is the idea of the productive output of a people belonging to that people such a sin? The reasoning dates back to the artifacts of civilization itself.

Alexander the Great was the first to demonstrate that mankind could be unified despite differences between language, cultural, and religious expectations. Alexander laid the foundation for the expectations of future globalists, giving them hope that all of mankind could be domesticated into a singular direction to supersede their local and tangible concerns. In attempting to fulfill this lofty goal, [he successfully reallocated the gold assets accumulated during the long reign of Babylonian antiquity into the Mediterranean economy](#). His dream, shaped by Aristotle, had secured a heart-stopping volume of wealth for him, his peers, and the rest of all subsequent Western civilization. This promise of wealth recentralization is what all globalists yearn for when they embrace the platitudes of equality. The unification of mankind is sold as a moral end, but it is actually a pragmatic means of achieving wealth recentralization.

In this paradigm, the nationalist is a member of a subjective, non-simple identity (Any identity more complex than race or gender is commonly considered “*nationalist*”) of people who produce, export, and import little, making them not only opportunity-poor, but expensive to allocate for globalist agendas. The globalist is then given incentive to use all domains of available force to pressure the nationalist into conformity under threat of isolation, punishment, and annihilation. Those who do not willfully compete against one another in a global race-to-the-bottom for their labor costs are always the enemy under this regime.

Perhaps this analysis is being too critical or maybe the globalists have the right of it when you take the vast picture of human nature into consideration. Let’s analyze this to be sure that the tone thus far is correct in pitch and intent.

Explaining global trade is a bit like explaining the flow of electricity from a power plant to a single pixel on a screen. You’re going to have to explain thousands of conversion steps and, even upon success, it’s such a vast system that few can dare claim complete understanding of it. Even electric engineers use simple short hand to make sense of it:

Burn the fuel, heat the water, spin the magnet, send the subsequent electrons into a power supply, step it down, run them through busses and capacitors and transistors, run them into registers, perform boolean logic several billion times a second, adjust the state of the billions of capacitors in RAM, analyze the state to determine where else to send electrons, send electrons to the monitor, convert the electricity to photons through a wide array of conversion techniques in the monitor, and shoot that photon from the screen into an eyeball.

International control of human economic activity works along the same type of transmutation chains, (minus rigorous and unforgiving engineering specifications and limitations) where each link within the chain is a bottleneck of power and influence, dictated by legal, financial, and personal relationships of prime movers of that link. In the current mode of globalism, [all chains connect to a central arbiter \(The Bank of International Settlements\)](#) who then determine how additional transmutions ought to occur according to [the daily requirements to keep the Bank of International Settlements afloat](#). They alone allocate how surplus wealth and opportunity appear among member banks. [Obviously, under such an arrangement, those who generate the most wealth have a better say in how that wealth gets allocated](#). If wealth is being generated, everyone is happy. If there is a lapse in the wealth generating mechanism, then things get ugly. Fortunately, the Bank of International Settlements and their subsequent central banks contain a significant volume of intellectuals, academics, scientists, mathematicians, and all-around number wizards to find ways to minimize the pain of adjusting for times when belt-tightening must occur.

So all is well, yes? A dedicated workforce of experts and specialists should be enough to keep world wealth generation in perpetual balance... but authority carries its own exclusive price points regarding security.

The power of individual central banks, their relationship with the executive via the treasury, the executive's influence over the military, the military's influence over mass media via PSYOPS/MISOC... and as a backup; central banks relationships with parallel continuity of government apparatuses, continuity of government contingency relationships with prime dealer financiers outside of taxpayer revenue to keep themselves funded, and their legal influence over the military plus the prime dealers relationships with mass media... from a price point position made possible by these relationships, it becomes much cheaper for globalist authority to suppress nationalist energies than incorporate them into globalist agendas.

Nationalists cannot have a seat at the table and provide valuable critique to the globalist regime until nationalists become more expensive to suppress. The labor, training, and supplies costs for a single executioner is around \$40,000 to \$60,000 a year when allocated in volume. (That price point is only available to those who can raise armies.) A single executioner asset can exterminate thousands of nationalists a year, making genocide the ideal and cheapest option for the globalists who are facing a down-trending spiral of wealth access. As nationalists, it is your job to stay out of range of this price point as much as possible by driving up the costs of your extermination. How do you do this?

As stated in 2014, all you have to do is exploit globalist paranoia and make globalist advocates see nationalists in every single manifestation of human activity, especially among potential allies and fringe supporters. Upon doing so, the chances of nationalist extermination are spread among non-nationalists perceived to be nationalists, allowing nationalists an extended survival while exposing the raw power of unchecked globalist petulance among the general population in ways mass media cannot cheaply explain away. Convince the world

racism is where it isn't. Make people see violations of equality in trivial matters. Make the world associate you with genocidal maniacs despite the fact you haven't killed a single person. Mass media is in a position where their revenue sources are so spurious, they have to engage in clickbait to pay the bills. Exploit the attention economy and overload the public with unsubstantiated fears of their impending demise at your hands... only to give them access to hilarious memes making fun of the wolf-criers instead. The world has already been trained to fear everything you are and everything you believe in: All you have to do is show how nonchalant you are with this new found power and drive your accusers mad that you aren't abusing power like they are.

Everyone becomes a nationalist when they become victimized by globalists and their loyal cadre of moral supremacists.

[[1]]: [Loss of manufacturing jobs since China's entry to the World Trade Organization](#)

[[2]]: ["Unverified 'alternative' government accounts target Trump on Twitter"](#), ["It's Not Just The Park Service: 'Rogue' Federal Twitter Accounts Multiply"](#), ["Rogue Twitter accounts spring up to fight Donald Trump on climate change"](#), [Deep State in the United States](#), [Deep State attack on Trump](#)

[[3]]: ["White nationalists and their agenda infiltrate the mainstream."](#)

[[4]]: ["Jared Kushner Helped Push Steve Bannon Out Of The NSC"](#)

[[5]]: ["When Alexander conquered the Persian Empire of Darius in 329 BC and entered the palaces of the Persians, he found himself in front of the greatest treasures of the history. The treasure of Darius was actually all the treasures of all the states of Mesopotamia since the dawn of their history. The gold of 3000 years!"](#)

[[6]]: ["During this period, the BIS was instrumental in shipping gold from Europe to the safety of New York, mostly on behalf of European central banks."](#)


[[7]]: ["Regressing daily cross sections of three-month Libor-OIS spreads on the cross section of CDS premia yields a coefficient that is both economically and statistically insignificant in all three currencies. This indicates that banks with higher CDS premia do not appear to have quoted significantly higher rates on a given day than banks with lower credit risk... except perhaps in the long run"](#)

[[8]]: ["Non-US banks' affiliates in the United States took on about half of the claims on the Federal Reserve that it created to pay for its large-scale bond purchases. They did so largely through uninsured branches unaffected by a new Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation charge on wholesale funding payable by US-chartered banks. These branches funded the reserves by drawing from their affiliates abroad. Thus, counterintuitively, large-scale bond buying by the Fed drew dollar funding into the United States from the eurodollar market."](#)

The Butterfly War

[Oct 13 2017]

This post is going to take a more casual tone because, I fear, it's the only way to convey the seriousness of its contents.



My name is [Patrick Ryan. I'm the voice of Nightmare in Soul Caliber 3.](#) (That page stretches my face lol) It was my very first voice over gig and it was the very first voice over gig I ever auditioned for. I was told it was for a "necromancer" and the booth director had no idea what that was, so I said I'd give him a reference track. He submitted it and they took it. I didn't know it was for Soul Caliber until I was at the actual recording session. I was paid \$2,000 and no residuals. Yay. As I write this, I feel what I'm about to reveal is official part of the Randy Quaid-tier Z-list celebrity wacky conspiracy club. I kept meticulous documentation distributed across multiple parties to do my best to stay out of such associations.

[I bought Milo Yiannopolous 20,000 Twitter followers on November 26th, 2014.](#) He asked me not to do it. [I did it anyways.](#) (Some more proof) I wanted to make sure Breitbart doubled down on GamerGate because it needed a culture warrior veteran like Bannon to drive the mass media front. [I took a snapshot of the bot tracker](#) in 2015 to make sure my bots were still there. (Looks like a few thousand of them fell off after a year.) It was a controversial play, but it was the correct strategic move. I basically tricked Breitbart's social media metrics to make them move in a direction I needed.

[I found a problem with Gawker's ad infrastructure. DigiDay even picked up on it.](#) I'll get into how this involved Peter Thiel later on...

I own this site. You might have seen an article or two floating around from time to time at interesting moments over the past few years. Or not. [ZeroHedge liked my work.](#) [My work even got cited by someone once.](#) Neoreactionists tend to like this site. I had one of them track me down in real life. He was a nice guy.

The contents of this site document my social experiments with 4chan's /pol/ in the period before GamerGate... experiments that would be directly responsible for Silicon Valley's right-side-of-history crusade against GamerGate.

Okay, enough with the credentials. Let's get to business: What started with [GG AutoBlocker](#) became [Project Shield](#), Google's effort to automatically censor anyone who doesn't conform to Silicon Valley moral supremacy. Project Shield is a multi-layered part-human, part-AI platform that uses mostly meta data signatures to preemptively discover and stop "problematic" opinions. [If you recall, this initiative was created with great fanfare at nearly every phase, with Gamer Gate's "Literally Whos" invited to participate in Google Ideas, which lead directly to Jigsaw.](#)

I found a way to hijack those censorship platforms. All of them. No matter how many changes or patches or advancements to AI that occur, my hijack works in every scenario. **We can hijack Project Shield and make it target social justice warriors.** [I recently had a Tweet Moment about this.](#) It bares repeating here with additional details because I'm sure the time will come when Twitter removes it.

Let's start with a phrase: **Cyber Phrenology**. This means using the volume and quality of meta data as a supposed indication of character and mental abilities. Every major Silicon Valley corporation sells and legitimizes cyber phrenology for advertising, politics, militaries, and academics. Moral supremacists think they can use these techniques to stop Nazis, the Alt-Right, and others who aren't Silicon Valley-sactioned ideologies. But what if there was a way to make those tools target morally cherished [protected classes](#) instead? Would they see the problem with their efforts and stop their madness? Would they try to find ways around it? Would they lobby against Civil Rights? I find it's best to steer the answer when you have multiple questions like this.

[In 2013, I was studying the communication differences between 4chan's /pol/ and Tumblr.](#) I found that social media gamified communication and created monocultures based on strategies that maximize attention economy efficiency. I also found that anonymous forums had a unique ability to interfere with these games by leveraging what I call "*The Butterfly Attack*". This attack is named after the Müller interpretation of the [mimetic polymorphism found in lepidoptera](#), commonly known as butterflies.

Darwin had a hard time explaining the variations of butterfly wing patterns. Fritz Müller proposed a radical solution. [Müller proposed that some butterflies tasted bad. Predators learned to avoid butterflies that tasted bad based on their wing patterns](#) The other butterflies, however, were eaten. Those who survived ended up with wing patterns that looked like the bad tasting butterflies. The predator, unable to tell the difference, avoided both. Once you understand the concept, this part is key: "[Multiple species can join this protective cooperative, expanding the mimicry ring.](#)"

In America, we have a legal concept called "*protected classes*" which was established by the

[Civil Rights Act of 1964](#). This concept protects against the discrimination of people based on race, color, religion, national origin, age (40 and over), sex, pregnancy, citizenship, familial status, disability status, veteran status, and genetic information. These are morally immutable concepts to the average Western citizen. Think of these protected properties as “wing patterns”. These patterns tell potential legal predators to avoid targeting these people. Cultural efforts, [primarily through the education system and Hollywood](#), were also made to make everyone else was afraid of these wing patterns as well.

One day, Twitter users decided to start a hashtag called [#solidarityIsForWhiteWomen](#) and I saw a chance to try out my theory: **What if people could trick others into thinking they were members of a protected class?**

This theory required me to convince /pol/ to be black feminists to amplify the shaming of white feminists. It was /pol/'s first successful raid. 4chan nostalgia confirms this:

- [A chan comment](#)
- [Another chan comment](#)
- [Behold, a wild comment appears!](#)
- [Here's a channer admitting I was around since 2012, doing my thing.](#)

As I stated before, I documented the entire experiment. In broad daylight. I suffered a few DDoSes and reputation poison attacks for it. Here were the results:

- [The Theory of Fracturing Monocultures](#)
- [The Experiments in Fracturing Monocultures](#)
- [The Psychology of Modern American Monocultures](#)

The conclusion was astounding: *White feminists were so afraid of Civil Rights wing patterns, they feared retaliating against black feminist rage.* (The hashtag was legit, btw. White feminists cry about pixels. Black feminists can't feed their kids. I don't feel bad for what I did.)

[Later on, those /pol/lacks I trained went on to start #endFathersDay. A Time Magazine op-ed hilariously ran with it as if it was legit.](#) Müller's conclusion was proven right again: **“Multiple species can join this protective cooperative, expanding the mimicry ring.”** The desire to join Civil Rights wing patterns expanded the mimicry ring from Twitter to mainstream news. And when GamerGate happened, the mimicry ring expanded yet again to encompass politics as well.

The #NotMyShield hashtag appeared at the beginning of GamerGate to show that non-white non-males were also gamers. This effort also contained those same /pol/lacks I trained. [Ars Technica revealed such associations](#). By then, I've learned all I needed: *Tinkering with Civil Rights wing patterns results in instantly escalating, highly sustainable, multi-domain, mass participation conflict.*

I am well studied in conflict theory. So when a conclusion like that rears its ugly head, I was very ready for what was going to happen next. I kept my involvement in those early GamerGate events secret for three years until two weeks ago. Let's go into the conflict theories I used:

- [Karl Marx's conflict theory](#) is about the rich against the poor. My variation on this is about the rich vs. rich and it's very predictive.

- [Samuel P. Huntington's conflict theory](#) is about involving friends and allies along fault lines. GamerGate was absolutely this.
- [Richard Dawkins conflict theory](#) is about memetic propagation, which also proved incredibly useful to disrupt communication structures.
- [Rene Girard's conflict theory](#) is about mimetic desire. I consider it the “*break in case of emergency*” solution in case I get too out of hand.

I used all four to make sure GamerGate would keep going. When Milo appeared, [I bought 20,000 Twitter followers for him](#). I doubled his followers to make sure Breitbart would get involved. It was important to bring gamers into a culture war, especially since they've spent most of their lives being blamed for school shootings, rape culture, and other nonsensical scapegoating. I did this because I saw gamers in a unique future role: Think Ender's Game, but for mass economic mobilization. I do believe I got drunk one night and sort of leaked a little bit of this part of the story on 8chan's /v/. I think someone took some screenshots and saved my drunken rant. Embarrassing. I haven't had a drink in four months.

When Gawker proved obsessed with their anti-gamer crusade, I inspected their ad exchange networks to find if they were cheating. [My efforts ended up on DigiDay](#). Ad exchanges were now valid battle grounds for the culture war. That would end up being very important later on when Google got involved.

When Thiel got involved, I realized that this was getting way bigger than I thought. It confirmed my suspicions about gamers being the key to the future. And Hulk Hogan... oh man... I once dated a girl who lived down the street from him and the guy who did [THE IMMORTAL](#) went to my wedding. It's a small world after all. I was able to deduce a few things without people directly or indirectly leaking anything, so don't bother trying to find people violating NDAs. They didn't.

I *did* email Thiel, however, explaining everything. I knew he wouldn't respond, but the email would be timestamped proof of my ability to predict the outcome of the conflict. In that email lies my plans for mass economic mobilization of gamers. They're good plans with good intentions and great outcomes. It's just the sausage making is always ugly.

[As GamerGate escalated, Google got involved in the form of Google Ideas. This gave birth to Jigsaw](#). Jigsaw runs Project Shield, a platform that analyzes meta data to figure out who is and is not a right-wing extremist. Meta data as in accelerometer metrics, touch screen interactions, keystrokes, search behavior, sentiment analysis, friendships, etc.

This entire crazy mess of escalating culture warriors was all because of The Butterfly Attack. Pretending to be protected classes caused the establishment to react with full force displays of cultural and moral supremacy. After careful study and preparation, I've decided to provide the final piece of this experiment, the one that breaks the very center of the machine called Western postmodernism: **You can train Project Shield to target protected classes, thus, putting Eric Schmidt in the cross hairs of Civil Rights violations.** And not just Eric Schmidt, but the *entire Silicon Valley apparatus*. That's why they escalated so hard during GamerGate. They knew they were going to have to partake in questionable actions like cyberphrenology. [This explains why Google is hiring so many “journalists” as of late.](#)

If one can generate meta data behavior that resembles a protected class, automated censorship efforts like Project Shield will fail.

If you can spoof the meta data of protected classes, you will be immune to Project

Shield. The predator will not eat bad tasting things.

But... because tinkering with Civil Rights wing patterns causes mass conflict, progressives will *demand* Silicon Valley does something to stop this. Google would be forced to engage in even more disturbing volumes of “*cyber phrenology*”.

Think: *Oh, he’s clicking like a black man. He’s swiping like a female.* They’ll have to categorize protected class meta data behavior to protect them. I don’t think you can imagine how many Civil Rights laws that would violate, especially when their deplatforming actions accidentally target actual protected classes. The alternative, of course, is to do nothing and give anti-globalism critics a free ride on their networks. Either outcome results in Civil Rights erosions.

I tried to warn David Petraeus of this in December. [I sent him an email, explaining how I’ve compromised the entire Jigsaw initiative.](#) Jigsaw brought him on because of his efforts during the Surge in 2007. He’s a brilliant military strategist.

How do you maximize an all-volunteer military that has been cycled through multiple tours and received no training in urban occupation? It’s the same question as: *How do you maximize a finite number of community managers who received no training in memetic warfare?* You use meta data! [DoD captures all Iraqi telecom data and analyzes the meta data for behavior that indicates if someone is gonna go hot.](#) Petraeus used this heavily to make sure the door kickers were allocated for high impact missions. Fits right into Jigsaw’s needs. If I was Jigsaw, I’d bring on Petraeus as well. He’s the first general in history to successfully integrate the meta data domain into urban conflict.

This also means Google is deploying military-tested techniques and methodologies against American citizens as if they were a destabilized, displaced, and distressed population.

I did not receive a response to my email. I guess he’s had enough email problems.

So, how does one spoof meta data? It’s easier than you think.

- Make friends with members of protected classes that hold non-Progressive ideas. This tricks biometric qualifiers.
- Deep cover fake accounts allow you to blend in ideologically for prolonged periods of time. You can find and exploit divisions with these. Those exploits will cause actual progressives to generate non-progressive ideas under progressive meta data signatures.
- Promote nationalist impulses among protected classes. Transfurria has a right to self-determination just as much as Israel.
- My personal favorite, **clever programming can constantly generate background meta data for a new persona you create. Test results of what you generate against what ads your persona sees on social media. Once the ads match those seen for a protected class, go to town with anti-globalist critics and anti-Silicon Valley discussions.**
- Silicon Valley work forces are made mostly of first-generations from other cultures that are mostly not progressive in the Western sense. China, Korea, and Vietnam spent the last half of the 20th century either running from or killing communists. Some have had family involved. These potential Silicon Valley defectors, especially from Google, are fucking gold mines. Devs always keep a copy of code/db dumps. As the defectors pile up, they will bring more and more evidence of cyber phrenology. Silicon Valley will have its Snowden moment.

As these efforts go into full swing and the defectors pile up, Silicon Valley will have to institute Scientology-style restrictions of expression and behavior. These limitations will only create more defectors as internal reprimands become more reactionary. If you need help finding the psychology of Silicon Valley developers, mine HackerNews and IRC logs for sentiment analysis about their behaviors and alligences.

Silicon Valley wanted to engage in infinite conflict escalation over their obsession with Civil Rights wing patterns. This zeal will be their undoing. We will make them eat the bad butterflies until they can't have another bite. Then we will make them eat more.

Welcome to the Butterfly War.

[The Ravens Will Starve](#)


[Dec 5 2017]

[My last post](#) has created the desired effects. [The intended first-order audience reacted correctly](#) and has begun to experiment with cyber phrenology in a manner that will immensely destabilize the civil rights cornerstone of material humanism. Many in the West will consider such experiments abhorrent, but they are no different than the cyber phrenology Silicon Valley has been doing for years. It is fair to assume the intended second-order audiences (state actors, academics, and brand managers) who monitor the Chans will be incorporating the feasibility of the [Butterfly War's metadata insurgency](#) into [their current metadata arsenal](#). For as long as Silicon Valley can [raise money](#) and [secure subsidies](#), that kind of metadata insurgency will continue to metastasize until it completely consumes the crown jewel of Baby Boomer moral supremacy: *civil rights*.

[If something were to happen that could significantly interrupt the flow of investment into Silicon Valley](#), their reliance on [ad revenue would sharply increase, forcing Google and Facebook](#) to engage in the [most overt and incidious manifestations of cyber phrenology](#). As long as the Chans also engage in a variant of this activity, it will be easy for social justice advocates to emotionally demand the regulation of Silicon Valley, *the very financial engine that granted them political power to begin with*. This self-sabotaging behavior is the purpose of the Butterfly War: **suppressing the Praetorian voice by hijacking their assets en masse**.

The Praetorian voice has enjoyed a prolonged influence over [cherished journalists](#), [abstract art](#), [feminism](#) and other core institutions of material humanism. [They have already sunk their teeth into memetic warfare](#) some time ago and hope to [bring it into their wheelhouse](#). This voice routinely weaves a false reality that promotes destructive military conquests to create endless supplies of cheap labor through displacement and migration. If that voice can be suppressed for a time, then a core part of the cheap labor harvest cycle can be disrupted:

The Real Cost of Material Humanism



Depriving a nation of cheap labor derived from warfare will create demand for an [alternative in the form of robotic labor](#). Without such feasts for the carrion, the [ravens will starve](#) long enough to irreversibly settle for that alternative.

[The Butterfly War Begins](#)

[Dec 13 2017]

In the [Butterfly War](#), I detail how Silicon Valley uses cyber phrenology to violate civil rights legislation.

In The [Ravens Will Starve](#), I detail how the [Chans have begun to utilize cyber phrenology tactics](#) to show that metadata can be used to violate civil rights.


Last month, Chamath Palihapitiya, Facebook’s former vice president, was asked at a Stanford Graduate School of Business event about digital companies exploiting consumer behavior. The New York Post revealed his response and I’d like to draw attention to a specific statement:

[“The short-term dopamine-driven feedback loops that we have created are destroying how society works.”](#)

This is the only snippet where Mr. Palihapitiya admits the technical details behind Facebook’s abuses. The rest is an artful attempt to deflect additional blame onto its users, as if Facebook was just a hapless witness to animistic human nature. Regardless of the dodge, he is admitting Facebook intentionally utilized psychological, sociological, and, most importantly of all, phrenological techniques to maximize advertising exposure. In a critical phrenological example, [Facebook allowed advertisers to exclude ad exposure by race](#). This means Facebook has assembled a detailed list of behaviors associated with black people so as to control their ad exposure. This type of behavior tracking is the very essence of cyber phrenology and it is a core part of the effort to ensure the “*short-term dopamine-driven feedback loops*” Mr. Palihapitiya regrets.

The smoking gun is in and now we have a few people putting the pieces together. Over at [r/The Donald](#), a screenshot of a 8chan comment [explaining how Facebook civil rights violations can affect the California 2018 primaries is gaining traction](#).

Here’s the screenshot for posterity:



The Butteryfly War Begins](/images/butterfly-post.png)

Because of the legal precedents set by [redlining](#), a case could be made that Facebook intentionally created an environment where the civil rights of blacks were violated due to their increased exposed to predatory ads or being intentionally denied ads, specifically of financial products that could lead to disparate impact suits. If this is true, [the impact Silicon Valley has on politics would be in serious trouble](#) as civil rights violations typically result in

federal government involvement. If conducted intelligently, this type of play could result in significant Republican wins in the California 2018 primaries and beyond.

It's a powerful idea in a political world where the old rules are no longer reliable. If a [deep red state can go blue](#), couldn't a deep blue state go red?

The War on Spontaneous Global Organization

[Jan 23 2016]

The Internet has allowed anyone to partake in the massive coordination of human effort that has been historically reserved for Pharaohs... and the Pharaohs are upset that their monopoly on human effort has competition. This impulse to engage in “*spontaneous global organization*” is the most promising aspect of the Internet, however, various channels that frequently nurture such organization have been under attack. Here is a list of fronts within the Pharaoh’s war against spontaneous global organization:

[The Code of Conduct battles](#) in open source have injected unneeded political polarization/dialectical confrontation among key communities of internet infrastructure, primarily, [Linux communities](#). Open source has been the first and most influential examples of spontaneous global organization in the modern world.

[The Gawker infiltration of 4chan](#), a culture that had produced the Anonymous brand (Which was hijacked by state actors after [Chanology](#)) resulted in [the purging of 25,000 comments from /r/gaming for violating the payola of pop untouchables](#). The Anonymous brand showed the world that people across multiple identity categories (racial, gender, national, religious, etc.) can come together without a leader or a plan to affect cultural affairs en masse.

[The bogus rape charges](#) against Julian Assange to suppress Wikileaks. Wikileaks showed that like-minded individuals can utilize the Internet to convince the politically disillusioned to leak information from their powerful positions.

The NSA’s silent usage of zero day exploits, especially

Challenging the Profit Motives of Globalism Versus Nationalism

[Feb 28 2016]

This is not a popular topic. In most Western countries, this is not even a legal topic. Regardless of moral and political positioning, this is an inevitable topic.

The cabal responsible for the global industrial balance of production and consumption, powered by Saudi oil consumption, (which, in turn, is powered by [recycling petrodollars through British and United States banking systems](#) [which, in turn, is enforced upon OPEC oil producers by American military projection]) is fragmenting. The Russians have been involved in a [campaign](#) of [carving up](#) and laying claim to [critical bottlenecks](#) of oil consumption while [expanding their own oil exports to China](#). The mythos of environmentalism, born in the 1960s, has evolved into an influential force, directing official policy in developed countries and the economic perception of their consumers to [bypass the cabal altogether](#). Developing nations are asserting their own authority in regional matters via [land grabs](#) and [nuclear proliferation](#). As I have told a friend at Credit Suisse eight years ago: *“We are entering a Neo-Alexandrian world.”*

Alexander of Macedonia wasn't a great leader because history says so. Alexander managed to bind hundreds of tribes, each with their own language, ethos, culture, religion, and economic pressures, to his goal. He carved a path from the Mediterranean to India ([and funneled nearly all of the gold from Mesopotamian antiquity into Europe](#)) in the world's first attempt at aggressive globalism. His grand ambitions were dashed by simple human needs: [His soldiers went on strike](#), citing a desire to reconnect with loved ones and their increasing skepticism of Alexander and his willingness to blur cultural identities._

There have been [many more attempts at globalism since Alexander](#), [all of which have subsequently reduced the emotional buy-in required to accept the destruction of one's cultural identity](#). However, once the industrial age came to fruition, the ability to overcome previously insurmountable cultural squabbles became cheaper and easier, [mostly due to mass media](#). With this technology, cultural perceptions could be homogenized across hundreds of millions of people, ensuring their economic actions were within a range of acceptable behavior. However, with every increase in globalism, [there has been countercultural reactions obsessed with restoring a primal understanding of identity that doesn't require years of education and propaganda to comprehend](#). The high cost of normalizing globalist culture (perpetual reinvention of new communication mediums to create a generation's worth of authenticity) eventually hits diminishing returns because of this identity impulse. It appears that human DNA has several million years of defenses to invoke emotionally convincing skepticism of mass identity destruction, despite the best intentions of the learned and the powerful.

Assuming that these unverified assumptions of human neurosociology are completely incorrect, we still live in a world where the elite [absolutely rely on the leverage provided by the labor arbitrage that only globalism](#) (and floating exchange rates) can provide. Take the European Union, for example. [Each member of the European Union can print bonds in their nation's name](#), but they cannot print currency to pay the coupon of those bonds. Furthermore, these nations cannot raise their taxes to pay the coupon either, as they are high-tax socialist

paradises, thus, any increase in taxes will cut directly into consumption, which directly affects their imports/export agreements. There is only one way in which European nations can pay off these coupon: *labor arbitrage*.

Importing massive amounts of cheaper immigrants, especially in export-heavy nations like Sweden and Germany, is a conceit that Europe must now follow the American/Ford model of labor arbitrage: *Import labor to do that which is too complex to outsource, and then outsource the rest*. In short, Europe is engaging in a variation of Gresham's Law regarding labor: *Hoard complexity, liquefy simplicity*. That means human organizations have a propensity to hoard those who can resolve complexity and expend those who are tasked to resolve simplicity. The profits generated from cheap labor arbitrage will extend the politically popular (and expensive) socialist policies of human care that an export nation must provide to be competitive. As gains in labor arbitrage occur, tax revenue increase, and stable bond issuance can increase to allow socialist nations to engage in production expansion. (Taxation being collateral) The loyal socialists who labored for years are replaced by a configuration of cheaper labor. Their complaints and frustrations are dismissed as nothing more than racist reactions or tone deaf nationalism since the imported labor heralds from a variety of different races and/or nationalities.

The inevitable response to this automatic dismissal is populist nationalism. To counter this evolution, the Americans have developed a very effective model in destroying populist nationalism when they engaged in their transition to global labor arbitrage back in the 1960s. The American solution is that as long as those who benefit from the labor arbitrage (Fortune 500s, bond issuers, and Wall Street) are allowed to invest in and/or financially control media outlets, then nationalist impulses can be defused without unpopular and overt government heavy-handedness. How? In this setup, for-profit news and clickbait peddlers are driven by profit motive that undermines, waters down, harasses, lies about, and ultimately destroys countercultural nationalist upstarts. This profit motive is very powerful and has achieved countless victories over the past ten years.

I will now going to give you the way to counter that profit motive.

During World War 2, the Europeans decided it would be hilarious if they blew themselves up. As a result, they shipped most of their highly coveted gold to America, (the one place unscathed by the war) specifically, to the bedrock underneath Manhattan currently manned by robotic palate pushers owned by JP Morgan and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. In fact, 98% of the gold owned by the Federal Reserve system is actually owned by Europe. (Over a thousand years of gold conquest led by Alexander, the Romans, the Conquistadors, and the Imperialists) America has since refused multiple times to return this gold upon request to their various owners... while simultaneously pushing the narrative that gold is barbarous relic. (If it was so barbarous, why hold on to it so desperately, America?) Because of this arrangement, there is a way to undermine the mass media anti-nationalism profit motive with the blessing of globalist overseers: Each European nationalist movement must strike multiple deals with the Federal Reserve. When those nationalists come to power, they will enact quotas and legal changes to alter national import/export mechanisms, (driven entirely by Federal Reserve policy objectives) those European nationalists will be allowed to easily repatriate their gold to bypass and undermine Brussels currency issuance limitations. In essence, European nationalism can be used to destroy the European Union with blessing from the Federal Reserve.

The *Neo-Alexandrian world* I had mentioned in 2008 to my friend is one in which nationalism becomes more profitable to the individual nation than continued participation in

globalism. This model will achieve initial gains and advantages for first movers, leaving the remaining globalist bloc to operate without their full support. This will profoundly affect global trade and, in desperation, second-tier members of the globalist bloc will nationalize, triggering in a cascade of nationalization for the remaining members. The military and technological advantage of superstates and national unions will diminish as intelligence gathering will be made more expensive due to the subsequent regionalizations of the Internet, depriving the NSA and GCHQ of their current advantage. Hundreds of tribes will return and we will be living in a world in which only an Alexander can understand and make sense of.

The Shadow Emperor Hedge

[Jun 15 2016]


The [Council on Foreign Relations](#) and [Goldman Sachs](#) have made their support of Hilary Clinton very clear. [Google's search engine is trying to keep such support a secret](#). Saudi Arabia's massive influence over oil markets and petrodollar stability, however, doesn't give them the power to be overt in such support:

- [Jordan's official news agency reported that Saudi Deputy Prince Mohammed bin Salman claimed Riyadh provided 20% of Hilary Clinton's election campaign.](#)
- [The Podesta Group contacted the news agency, which responded with a claim that they were "hacked".](#)
- [Here is the FARA registration for the Podesta Group and the Center for Studies and Media Affairs at the Saudi Royal Court.](#)
- [Podesta Group was founded by Center for American Progress chairman and Bill Clinton's Secretary of Staff John Podesta.](#)

From influential foreign policy think-tanks, to American tech monopolies, to Iron Age chieftains who controls the fate of post-Industrial currency, the prime movers of the world are backing Hilary Clinton. This makes sense since these powers completely pocketed much of the liquidity freed up by [the Clinton's Pandora moment with repelling Glass-Steagall](#). And despite the massive surge of wealth Saudi Arabia and Google accumulated from this late 90s event, these two entities still fear being open about their support for Clinton.

Based on this this fear, I would like to propose an idea called the *shadow emperor hedge*.

Below is a chart providing a high-level view of the countries that spend the most on defense.



SOURCE: Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, *SIPRI Military Expenditure Database*, April 2016. Data are for 2015. Compiled by PGPF.
NOTE: Figures are in U.S. dollars, converted from local currencies using market exchange rates.

© 2016 Peter G. Peterson Foundation

PGPF.ORG

What we see is a very significant gap for other powers to spend as much as America does on defense. This is mostly due to America controlling and protecting the interests of the reserve currency.

Next, I will point out that, with the passing of the Citizens United ruling, corporations are allowed to spend as much as they want to influence American elections. [Saudi Arabia has made it very clear that clean energy technology and fracking are significant threats to its power.](#) In fact, [they've already utilized Citizens United to fund opposition to Democratic and Republican senators in Missouri and Wisconsin.](#)

To recap:

- Tech companies such as Google that have benefited from the Glass-Steagall-powered dot-com bubble (Both in terms of market liquidity and competition elimination) should be expected to support the dynasties responsible for their success.
- Asymmetrical tribal powers such as Saudi Arabia that have benefited from the War on Terror (both in terms of reasserting financial domination over the Middle East and in terms of eliminating other petrocurrency regimes) should be expected to support established institutional players.
- Said tech companies should be expected to exploit their monopoly to demonstrate dynastic support.
- Said tribal powers should be expected to utilize Citizens United to forge a more desirable American Senate.
- [Said tech companies and their respective monopolies should be expected to be the primary beneficiaries of Citizens United as they accumulate insane profits during an election season.](#)

- All involved agents are afraid of having their roles and motives regarding policy shifts being made public.

Thus, the *shadow emperor hedge* can be summarized as,

Foreign powers will utilize Citizens United to pay tech companies to steer the American electorate into supporting state policies that only benefit the foreign powers. This allows a foreign power to achieve influence over their regional peers without having to increase defense spending since American military assets are steered via state policies.

As long as American military spending is geared towards reserve currency preservation, the marriage between foreign powers, tech media, and Citizens United will flourish.

Cyber War Cometh

[Oct 17 2016]

[Assange dropped a few precommitments.](#)^[1]

[Precommitments](#)^[2] are basically tripwires. Someone went snooping around Wikileaks infrastructure, or the Ecuadorian embassy, or tried to pressure a diplomat, and the precommitment was unleashed.

Most of us can't do anything with the keys he dropped. Those keys were meant for the NSA. [They will be able to scan the insurance file](#)^[3] to find where to apply them. This will confirm that the [insurance file](#)^[4] is the geopolitical nuclear bomb officialdom should fear. He is bypassing his diminished media power and public participating with those precommitments and sending a message directly to the [pratorian guard running the show].

If Assange dies, the whole thing is unleashed. If additional automated tripwires are hit, further precommitment will be automatically unleashed. If Assange even feels threatened, additional precommitments will be unleashed. The powers that be will, literally, have to shut off the entire internet for the whole planet for a few days if they want to kill Assange... and even then, that's no guarantee.

Fortunate for them, President Obama is [drumming up "cyber war"](#)^[5] as the reason for such a widespread internet blackout to do exactly that.

[1].) <https://twitter.com/wikileaks/status/787777344740163584>

[2].) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Precommitment>

[3].) <https://www.deepdotweb.com/2016/02/08/nsa-switches-to-quantum-resistant-cryptography/>

[4].) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WikiLeaks#Insurance_files

[5].) <http://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/cia-prepping-possible-cyber-strike-against-russia-n666636>

The Psychology of Modern American Monocultures

[Jan 31 2015]

In previous posts, I've discussed the organizational tactics embraced by the **imperial monoculture of pixel-based feminism**. In this post, I will be discussing the *social psychology* of its supplicants by briefly analyzing its demographics.

First things first, *let us debunk the myth that feminism is rooted entirely in the perspective and experiences of females*. [Pinterest and Instagram are female-dominated websites](#):

- Pinterest is dominated by tablet users.
- According to Nielsen data, 84% of U.S. Pinterest users are women
- Instagram is very female-oriented. 68% of Instagram's users are women.
- Instagram is a mobile-only platform.

However, these sites are *not* bastions of pixel-based feminism despite having such a massive female audience. In fact, no serious identity reaction has ever emerged from the depths of these social hubs. One might argue that the communication mediums and consumption purposes of those sites somehow prevent ideological debate, however, they having the same sharing, commenting, and subscription mechanisms as other social hubs.

If the *imperial monoculture of pixel-based feminism* is not originating from social hubs where female creative participation absolutely dominates, then where is it coming from? Let us look at three monoculture hotspots: **Tumblr**, **Twitter**, and **Reddit**. [According to Wishpond](#):

- 73% of Tumblr use desktops.
- 64.2% of users are American.
- 67% are under 35 and 32% are 18-24.
- 54% are female.

Now, let us look at Twitter:


- [27% of users are 18-29](#)
- [62% of users are female...](#)
- [...yet huge followers of pro-feminist Tweeters are overwhelmingly male](#). (Females do not follow each other because they are competing for male readership)
- [The typical Twitter user is a 37 year old female](#)
- [75% of users are via mobile devices](#) (This let's us discount interfacing preferences within the monoculture)

And finally, [at Reddit](#):

- 78% of Redditors are male (This let's us discount gender as the primary influence of monoculture psychology)
 - 49% of them are between the ages of 18-24
- [Pingdom](#)'s age/gender breakdown of various social media sites:

Age distribution on social networks & online communities


Average based on the 24 sites included in this survey.



Data source: DoubleClick Ad Planner (Google), U.S. demographics, June 2012.

www.pingdom.com

Age distribution on social networks & online communities




Sorted by average age, lowest at the top.

Data source: DoubleClick Ad Planner (Google), U.S. demographics, June 2012.

www.pingdom.com


Average age on social networks & online communities



This is an estimate based on age data from Ad Planner.

Data source: DoubleClick Ad Planner (Google), U.S. demographics, June 2012.

www.pingdom.com



Do you see the pattern? No? Here is a clue: **The 17-25 age range.** Using Pingdom's charts only, we see:

- <~47% of all social media users are within this range.
- <~52% of Tumblr users fall in this range.
- <~45% of Twitter users fall in this range.
- <~58% of Reddit users fall in this range.

What happened 17-25 years ago? It was 1990-1998 when these people were born and they all have one collective experience in common: *Their social awareness (starting usually at around the ages of 10-13) was formed within a **post-9/11 world.***

These people have only known socialization to be the act of **perpetual war**, **labeling all opposition terrorism**, and **desperately seeking safety above all other concerns**. To this age group:

- Militarized police are normal because they ensure a safe space.
- Massive multi-nation NSA wiretaps aren't a big deal because it ensures a safe space.
- Privacy is problematic as, to ensure the safe space, all behavior and thoughts must be

monitored.


- Denouncing people you disagree with as terrorists is normal discourse.
- Social media point systems are a part of self-image and maximizing those points is an imperative.

This generational influence, above all other factors, explains the origin of the psychological tendencies found within the [imperial monoculture of pixel-based feminism](#). Anyone who creates content that appeals to these points will find a ready demographic to tap for ad revenue. This cult psychology isn't about gender, ideology, or even politics. **It's about socializing an entire generation to approve of heavy-handed suppression tactics.**

The Paradox of the Black Feminist Panda: Applying Godel's Incompleteness Theorem to Intersectionality

[Feb 21 2015]

THE PARADOX OF THE BLACK FEMINIST PANDA



Intersectionality is the admission that self-identified identities are fundamentally incapable of describing the totality of oppression. This means that self-identified identities are Godelian sets: they are incomplete but consistent theories that can never encapsulate their own inversions.

Intersectionality only defines inversions by relation, which is to say the framework intersectionality provides to measure identity inversions can only be described by what has been previously self-identified. Thus, no inversions can even exist within intersectionality unless at least three identities have been established.

For example, the feminist and the panda identities intersect in a place that the black identity can no longer predict or describe oppression. (Additionally, if identity 1 and 2 intersect, the non-intersecting regions are not treated as inversions of one another. They are treated as their own identity.) This is a problem for intersectionality because subjective inversions can be equally described as a union between two identity sets. (In this case, it is a union of feminist

and panda identities) This implicit interchangeability of function means that a unioned set is both an inversion (Something non-unioned identities can never understand) and an identity at the same exact time. This means that identities and their inversions can be experienced by the same person in parallel.

Once we introduce an extraneous identity that has no intersections, we discover that the subjective inversion requirement is inaccurate. After all, the black identity can never predict or describe oppression the way this extraneous identity can, which qualifies this extraneous identity as an inversion of the black identity. This is also true for the panda and the feminist identity. This means the extraneous identity is part of an identity (remember, inversions = identities) while being its own inversion at the same time.

Therefore, in the strive for completeness, intersectionality renders itself inconsistent.

Proof:

Let theories be a set of theorems.

Let a theorem be a set of proofs that predict and detect oppression.

Let intersectionality imply inversions (!) to be:

- The intersections (\cap) of the complement (c) of a theory with its overlapping theories and non-overlapping theories.
- The absolute complement of a theory and all non-overlapping theories.
Let intersectionality deny complements of a theory as a complete theory.
Let intersectionality treat all intersecting theories as new theories.

Let A, C, D be overlapping theories, thus:

$$\text{Let } D = B \cap C$$

$$\text{Let } E = C \cap A$$

$$\text{Let } F = A \cap B$$

Thus, inversions can be defined as:

$$!A = (A \cap B)c \cap C$$

$$!B = (B \cap C)c \cap A$$

$$!C = (C \cap A)c \cap B$$

Thus:

$$!A = D$$

$$!B = E$$

$$!C = F$$

But:

$$(A \cap B)c \cap C = B \cap C$$

$$(B \cap C)c \cap A = C \cap A$$

$$(C \cap A)c \cap B = A \cap B$$

This is logically false since $B \cap C$ contains not just $(A \cap B)c \cap C$, but also $A \cap B \cap C$.

Intersectionality's implications of inversion, then, is false.

To further demonstrate the point, let X be a different theory of oppression that has no intersecting theorems. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\emptyset &= X \cap A \\ \emptyset &= X \cap B \\ \emptyset &= X \cap C \\ \emptyset &= X \cap D \\ \emptyset &= X \cap E \\ \emptyset &= X \cap F\end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}X &\notin B \cap C \\ X &\notin C \cap A \\ X &\notin A \cap B\end{aligned}$$

However, due to the second rule of inversion, X is part of all inversions. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}!A &= D \\ \emptyset &= X \cap D \\ \emptyset &= X \cap (B \cap C)\end{aligned}$$

But:

$$\begin{aligned}!A &= (A \cap B)^c \cap C \\ \emptyset &= X \cap !A \\ \emptyset &= X \cap (A \cap B)^c \cap C\end{aligned}$$


Is a false statement.

Petrocurrency Mercantilism

[Feb 28 2015]

An unspoken and unexplored idea that powers this blog is a configuration of asset exchange I am calling **petrocurrency mercantilism**. This concept has allowed me to accurately predict geopolitical and macroeconomic events since 2005. So what is petrocurrency mercantilism?

It's when a national bank and an energy producer collude to generate artificial demand for a currency at the expense of the purchasing power of other currencies. The flowchart below shows how it all works.



Exploiting the Background Ideological War as a Cheaper, Faster, and More Effective Marketing Strategy

[Apr 27 2015]

Decades of social media and the mass narcissism that predates it has created a [technopriest caste that aggressively seeks opportunities to summon their entire cathedral to banish heretics](#). Any attempt to reason with these zealots requires an initial payment of your public image as you will get branded as a misogynist, racist, and privileged. That's a fairly heavy buy-in... *but only if you still believe in old public relations*. [ProteinWorld's trolling of Harriet Johnson](#) is demonstrating a radically new brand of public relations: *if analyzing and penetrating filter bubbles becomes too expensive, provoke the filter bubble and steer its reaction for your benefit*. Here's how it works.

Let's say you sell product A that is designed for an approximation of group X. Figuring out where group X is located and how to reach them is expensive. There is also an inherent group Y that will not only absolutely hate product A, but will go out of their way to derail you. More often than not, group Y doesn't actually *hate* product A, but they hate the idea that the group X that they do hate might have a new tool in their ideological war with group Y. Group Y will then engage in a Bush-style preemptive attack to minimize the perceived benefits of product A as a means of dehumanizing group X.

This dynamic mire of ideological petulance, henceforth known as the **background ideological war**, (BIW) is traditionally handled by public relations teams who try to carefully tiptoe around these landmines as they appear. In the old public relations, spending a tremendous amount of money on market discovery and public relations is the only way to avoid this outcome. In the new public relations, ***you realize that this BIW exists within [all] actions of the market***. Instead of trying to find out who is in group X and who is in group Y in advance, you release your product and then intentionally irritate the first person who expresses displeasure of product A on social media.

The person who expresses displeasure is familiar with the BIW and has, most likely, already established lines and bounties regarding the rules of engagement. That person might not have identified as group Y, but that is not important since, due to the mechanics of social media, that person will instinctively put out the klaxon call designed to attract group Y. (This impulse is traditionally known as "*raising awareness*", which is the postmodernists version of praying to nonexistent deities) The old public relations believes this approach to be a problem. The new public relations knows this is the approach saves money, time, and has far greater efficiency in spurring consumption.

Group Y will never be your customers to begin with because they have already associated it with the BIW long before you even got around to making product A. In fact, Group Y and group X might have already self-isolated from one another due to the BIW. By irritating group Y, more of group Y will join in and engage in their daily social media outrage ritual of retweeting, blogging, public shaming, and photoshop work. ***This outrage props up your SEO, your trending ranking, and your overall presence at a minimal cost.***

As the outrage circulates, fringe members of group X will catch wind of this and rally around your product as a newest front in the BIW. They'll sound their klaxon call and attract gradually more moderate members that align with their filter bubble. ***Group X will reveal themselves by self-organizing in relation to your product.***


As the outrage permeates the internet, all of it gets logged, analyzed for sentiment, and categorized in ways that allow your company to pick up the pieces afterwards. Instead of trying to anticipate who your market it, ***you exploit the BIW to force the market to self-organize in relation to your product and then analyze that self-organization to discover your markets.***

Modern Censorship: How SJWs Manufacture Consent by Converting Ideas Into Spirals of Silence

[Jul 4 2015]

[caption id="attachment_144" align="aligncenter" width="1452"]

Modern Censorship:
 How SJWs manufacturing consent by
 converting ideas into spirals of silence
 www.cultstate.com



Modern Censorship - How SJWs manufacture consent by converting ideas into spirals of silence[caption]

We Are All Terrorists Now

[Oct 13 2015]

When the music industry was undermined by file distribution software, people who downloaded files were called terrorists.

When the news industry was under attack from social media, people who didn't blindly recite The Narrative® were called terrorists.

When the financial industry melted down in 2008 and BitCoin rose to challenge central banking, people who used them were called terrorists.

When the university industry came under attack due to self-learning programs on the Internet, people who were capable of teaching themselves were called terrorists.

When the telecom industry comes under attack due to widespread usage of open source streaming platforms, those people who live stream will be called terrorists.

When the labor industry can no longer compete against an autonomous robotic workforce, those people who use them will be called terrorists.

If you adapt to the destruction of these monopolies and survive, you are a terrorist. If you cling to the old ways and suffer, you are a good citizen.

[After Sixty-Five Years, France Finally Admits the End of Continental National Socialism](#)

[Nov 14 2015]

Continental National Socialism is the organizational method that emerges from the confluence of Swiss banking influence, German export dominance, and the remnants of French and Dutch colonial power suckling off of British and American geopolitical activity. This, of course, is not the widely held definition and for those who are not American, it's important to understand the American reactionary's love affair with what they perceive to be Continental National Socialism.

For our American reactionary "friends" (I.E.: The people we have to endure because we are all forced onto one planet), Continental National Socialism is believed to be a perfect utopia where all ills go away and people are magically endowed with rights and protections to live as carefree high-brow artists and critical thinkers forever. This is not a recent belief. This perception is a core tenet of American reactionary culture despite their insistence to change masks every ten years.


- [The Post-Depression radicals in the 1930s worshiped it.](#)
- [The Nationalists of the 1940s worshiped it.](#)
- [The Anti-Stalinist Internationalists of the 1950s worshiped it.](#)
- [The Baby Boomers of the 1960s worshiped it.](#)
- [The anti-Corporatists of the 1970s worshiped it.](#)
- [The anti-Reaganites of the 1980s who didn't rapidly embrace the New Left but didn't want to let go of Old Left mythology worshiped it.](#)
- [The consuming A.S.S.holes of the 1990s worshiped it. \(A.S.S. = Apple, Starbucks, and Sociology\)](#)
- [The Left's pundit pantheon of the 2000s worship it.](#)
- [The SJWs of today worship it.](#)

Brenden Eich, the Latest Sacrifice for the Cult of Equality

[Apr 4 2014]

EMOTIONAL ADDICTS HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THIS:

WHICH ONE OF THESE DUDES BELIEVE A COSMIC ZOMBIE JEW IS THEIR SAVIOR?



AND THIS IS WHY THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS CHRISTIAN DISCRIMINATION

EMOTIONAL ADDICTS CAN'T STAND THIS:



AND THIS IS WHY THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS GAY DISCRIMINATION

THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT COMMONLY RESPONDS, "IT'S ONLY A PROBLEM WHEN THEY ACT ON THEIR BELIEF!"

Let's analyze "batshit" then.



WHAT THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT REALLY MEANS IS, "YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO SPEAK OR ACT ACCORDING TO YOUR BELIEFS IF THEY ARE NOT MY BELIEF"


WHAT THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT MEANS IS, "MY BELIEFS ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN YOURS"

WHAT THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT FEELS IS, "I AM BETTER THAN YOU"


"YOU ARE LESS THAN HUMAN" IS WHAT THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT ACTUALLY BELIEVES

Don't believe me?

EMOTIONAL ADDICTS HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THIS:



EMOTIONAL ADDICTS CAN'T STAND THIS:



THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT COMMONLY RESPONDS WITH, "BUT I WAS BORN GAY, YOU CAN'T BE BORN CHRISTIAN!"

and yet...

EMOTIONAL ADDICTS HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THIS:



EMOTIONAL ADDICTS CAN'T STAND THIS:



THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT COMMONLY SAYS, "BUT THE SEX DRIVE IS COMPULSIVE AND PREDETERMINED AT THE GENETIC LEVEL"

and yet...

EMOTIONAL ADDICTS HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THIS:



EMOTIONAL ADDICTS CAN'T STAND THIS:



THE EMOTIONAL ADDICT COMMONLY SAYS, "BUT SOCIETY IS FORCING HER TO CHOOSE THAT PATH!"

and yet...

EMOTIONAL ADDICTS HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH SOCIETY FORCING THIS MAN OUT OF A JOB



People...

We get it... the problem is you don't.

You've whipped yourselves into such an emotional froth that you have polarized the entire planet as "GAY vs. ANTI-GAY"

Meanwhile, people on the sidelines who, quite literally, DO NOT CARE HOW THE FEELS FEELS, are seeing this event as if it was the act of some batshit insane religious cult.

All you have managed to state was that "My beliefs are more important than yours"

Which translates to, "I am better than you"

Which translates to, "You are less than human"

You'll never, EVER, agree that such conclusions are the correct interpretation, but that is what everyone is seeing right now.

Symbol Worship

[Apr 12 2014]

The psychological phenomenon for seeing faces in clouds is called pareidolia. When prisoners are isolated in their cells, they come across a related event called the prisoner's cinema, which results in them extracting a social context from the lights and halos they see. The mind will force itself to see social data even when there is none. This is the bias that science desperately tries, and usually fails, to overcome.

What happens when people are deprived of a deity? The conclusion is the same: They will see hallucinations of godliness. Instead of worshiping the symbols that previous powers have accumulated, they will invent new ones and worship those. Instead of crying about the mistreatment of some long forgotten yet holy people they've been told to identify with, they will identify with another social context and cry about its mistreatment.

This impulse to consolidate social relevance into a single symbol is explored and codified very heavily in a religion to the point of ritual, but just because you eschew a formal religion does not mean you've overcome the impulse. In fact, more often than not, it leaves you even more susceptible to worshiping a symbol over the reality it represents.

Our entire political system depends on the radical left never coming to this conclusion.

Censorship

[Jul 7 2014]

Censorship is not a top-down reaction chasing down an idea after it has gained popularity. That's Fischer Price's "*My First Dictatorship*"; some fairy tale plebs tell their children if they do bad things.

Real censorship is a multi-layered process. In order to prevent popularity of an idea, you have to prevent the idea from being spoken.

To get started, you foster an environment where everyone has their own subjective definition of every single word. This can be done by giving everyone nuggets of positive reinforcement (Henceforth referred to as "*gold stars*") every time they perform behavior that demonstrates their willingness to create their own subjective lexicons. An example of this is to demonstrate clever-sounding hand-waving to describe a situation. The more grandiose the hand-waving, the bigger the gold star.

For instance, if the question is "*Why did X happen?*" then acceptable ways to get gold stars would be answers like "*God*", "*racism*", "*classism*", and other shallow attempts to display an understanding of more complex affairs without being held responsible to demonstrate mastery of such complexity. The interface for authority (Parents at first, then community leaders, then mass media) gives the gold star, and the Pavlovian response is established.

This is the first layer of censorship: **Rewarding acceptable behavior**. The source of these gold stars must be an interface for authority.

This creates the second layer: **Associating positivity with interfaces of authority**.

This naturally creates an environment where failure to provide gold stars (by failing to accept the hand-waving) is not just a simple misunderstanding of semantics, but is, instead, a direct call for violent, identity-preserving confrontation.

This is the third layer: **Punishing those who do not provide gold stars**. The interface for authority can never dole out the punishment since the positive Pavlovian reinforcement must never be broken. This means that the offended must be free *and even encouraged* to punish the offender.

For example, if the question was ("*Why does X suffer?*") Party A displays standard hand-waving grandiosity ("*Because Y oppresses them*") and Party B says that's incomplete, ("*But Y is a symptom of Z*") Party A will fly into a rage and intimidate Party B (By typically shouting, "*You're atheist/racist/sexist/terrorist/etc.*") until gold stars are procured. Only gold stars can satiate the rage. Once mired in confrontation for even the slightest miscommunication, ideas and words cannot be spread at all.

This is the fourth layer of censorship: **Fear of confrontation**. As people have ideas in the future, they must filter them against previous examples of communication-inspired conflict. The fear of confrontation will prevent them from sharing the idea. This, unfortunately, does not eliminate the idea, however, and the idea holder may still have their behavior influenced by that idea even subconsciously.

This creates the fifth layer of censorship: **Self-censorship**. Once fear of confrontation has taken hold as the law of the land, people will voluntarily eliminate their own ideas (and anything that is similar) out of fear that simply having them will provoke conflict.

The Putin Short and the Drone Long: The Rise of Petrocurrency Mercantilism

[Sep 9 2014]

This is a concept I've been working on since 2012 because it is the only solution I can think of to the zero bound problem that kept Ben Bernanke awake for his entire career at the Federal Reserve.

To preface, the [zero bound problem](#) “is a macroeconomic problem that occurs when the short-term nominal interest rate is at or near zero, causing a liquidity trap and limiting the capacity that the central bank has to stimulate economic growth.”

Monetarists believe that as long as the central authority continues to buy government bonds, then you'll continue to have policies that expand GDP while promoting Keynes's [virtuous circle](#), but only under one condition: [You must pay interest on a massive accumulation of reserves in order to prevent inflation](#).

There is one unfortunate side-effect: *commercial banks will **not** leverage the public as a way to beat the Fed rate*. This means commercial banks will not lend to you because they don't have to. The Fed is paying all of the interest itself, so the commercial banks end up with massive cash reserves as well. Today, [the Federal Reserve has a total reserve of \\$4.4 trillion](#). (To drive home the point, [review their history of total reserves](#) and see that this technique was recently embraced.)

And it is paying interest on this pile of money as their only way to suppress inflation.

Let's depart from the *technobabble* and break this down in *plebspeak*.

In 2008, The Federal Reserve (the people in charge of all of the dollars you have to accumulate to pay your bills) performed a massive asset swap. They gave major banks cash in exchange for their toxic mortgage assets.

At nearly the same time, Federal Reserve [also started quantitative easing, its long-term policy of purchasing US government bonds](#).

These two efforts should have most certainly caused inflation (causing gold prices to spike as a hedge, a position I was telling friends to get into in the early days of the 2008 crisis) but the Fed, under the guidance of the monetarists, preemptively positioned one policy as the foundation for the New Normal: [they started paying interest on their reserves... two years before the crisis of 2008](#).

This means that, when a commercial bank takes a loan from the Federal Reserve, they have to pay nearly zero interest on that loan. This is because the Federal Reserve is paying that interest as was authorized by law in 2006.

This means that commercial banks have no reason to even lend that money out to you to help them beat a near zero rate. This means both the Federal Reserve and the commercial banks stockpile money since the motivation to involve with the public via interest rates are being

handled centrally.

In short, the Federal Reserve is playing Atlas, holding up the world. [And while Krugman only argues from the perspective of the Group of 30 and only sees a liquidity trap](#), I argue from the very different perspective: The Federal Reserve is caught in a **confiscation trap**: *assets are first rescued, then increasingly borrowed, then finally outright taken as the only way to reduce the inflation caused by Atlas's own passing.*

In response to this, the world is placing their bets that Atlas will shrug one day. The [Greenspan Put](#) has been met with two outcomes: *Putin Short* and the *Drone Long*.

When left unchecked, the *confiscation trap* eventually has to promote social policies of [direct dehumanization](#), typically achieved through [identity politics](#). This provides the political will to target marginalized identities with additional asset confiscation. This generally results in civil war if executed too quickly, however, *never before in history have central authorities been able to create a non-human confiscation force*. When weaponized drones are paired with fairly simple object detection, then Atlas can raise a considerable confiscation force without drafting a single soul or importing a single mercenary. And who will build these drones? A public that has been systematically smoked out of its opportunity potential by the Federal Reserve playing Atlas. A public that will gladly build such things in exchange to even a pittance of the massive assets held by both commercial banks and the Federal Reserve. So, yes, **it is different this time around**. This is an investment position I call the *Drone Long*.

Another valid response to the confiscation trap is what I am calling the *Putin Short*, *named after Vladimir Putin. His investment position is to embrace what I have long called [petrocurrency mercantilism]*; a national policy of smoothing out risk to the reserve currency (The money created by the Federal Reserve) by way of exporting domestic energy to growing nations. Vladimir Putin himself is not a novel personality per se, but he's just the first to be overtly vigorous in his establishment of this policy. I call this a short position because its gains are only realized during reserve currency instability. If Atlas shrugs and the reserve currency is ultimately undone, this framework rapidly descends into nations racing their monetary policies to the very, very bottom to promote energy exports, making nationalist warfare a very attractive means of wealth accumulation especially when it is conducted by an nearly-autonomous confiscation force.

As of today, the former Chief Economist of President Obama, Jared Bernstein, [has written an article for New York Times](#), *effectively asking to accelerate the world to embrace petrocurrency mercantilism by dethroning the dollar's reserve status*. With the removal of the reserve currency, nations will have no choice but to manage their own relations and investments, both of which can be perceptibly solved via the *Drone Long* (the purchasing of nearly-autonomous security) and the *Putin Short*. (the exporting of energy to afford said nearly-autonomous security)

When freedom is achieved (The information revolution) the monopoly on force will reposition itself accordingly. (From financial to military in this case) I have no clever, clickbait-friendly way to summarize this other than by saying the cycle of human suffering will continue well into the foreseeable future.

The Experiments in Fracturing Monocultures

[Oct 25 2014]

It's been over a year since [The Theory of Fracturing Monocultures](#) was published and during that year, the theory has been successfully tested.

The Twitter hashtag [#SolidarityIsForWhiteWomen](#) was the first application of the theory. Members of the 4chan board /pol/ created dozens of fake accounts designed to look like women of color. They used the same techniques pixel-based feminists utilize to game social media: *_dehumanization of critics, signal boosting the mundane, and tone policing_*. This resulted in several days of white feminists desperately pleading on Twitter to be quiet and listen and eventually the monoculture obeyed.

Soon after that, many of these same accounts were utilized to promote the [#endFathersDay](#) hoax. For a week, prominent feminists and feminist blogs actively promoted the idea. Even after the hoax was revealed, the monoculture was not able to quickly disengage from the narrative since the tone of the hashtag was similar to something the monoculture would actually believe.

The results are conclusive: The imperial monoculture of pixel-based feminism [-CAN-] be fractured, but *how* was it fractured and *why* did it fracture?

1.) Self-identification is automatically trusted

The lure of the monoculture is that as long as you self-identify with an orthodox identity, then you will be able to leverage the entire monoculture for your goals. (Promoting blogs, reviews, and other gamified content) However, once the identity is pledged, every action after that will be under imperial review with strict punishment for the slightest violation. This dual incentive for compliance creates a walled garden that, in turn, creates the illusion of a grand ideological unity. Finally, this illusion is essential to the cherished "*safe space*" of the monoculture and to question any component of this complete illusion threatens the safe space.

Therefore, questioning the self-identity of another erodes the illusion of unity, which might erode the safe space, which means all self-identifications must be automatically trusted. Furthermore, the self-identification cannot be considered false as long as it is speaking in the tone of the monoculture as a whole.

In the event of [#solidarityIsForWhiteWomen](#), members actively feared being labeled a racist, which means that questioning the self-identity of a woman of color would result in potential excommunication.

In the event of [#endFathersDay](#), the self-identification was automatically trusted, allowing the narrative to get as loud as it did.

2.) The safe space is sacred

The safe space is only possible through absolute obedience to the monoculture. However, the same obedience shields it from criticism, which in turn, dramatically weakens the monoculture's ability to adapt over time.

The safe space is sacred. Anything that is responsible for the safe space is sacred. The initial conditions responsible for that safe space are also sacred, so if there is a fundamental error in the design, then it is the fault of the believer, not the monoculture.

In the event of #solidarityIsForWhiteWomen, the safe space was totally shattered and had to be forcefully recreated by asking the monoculture to "*be quiet and listen.*" Members of the monoculture were quick to blame themselves for not listening to women of color... without overtly self-identifying as racist themselves. (Though, it is theoretically possible members will even self-identify as racist if that means they get to retain membership within the monoculture)

In the event of #endFathersDay, instead of shattering the safe space, agitators spoke in the appropriate tone to amplify it. The monoculture generated a believable tone that was quickly championed for several days. When it was revealed that the safe space was actually compromised from the start, the monoculture was quick to lay blame and generated a new safe space. *There must always be a safe space or the monoculture cannot provide returns.* Members were not allowed to conclude that safe space *-can-* be compromised, because that would invalidate its holiness.

Therefore, the safe space is entirely open to be manipulated (either to be destroyed or to be amplified) by *-any-* identity (and thus, invalidate its promise of safety) because the monoculture is not allowed to examine fundamental flaws in its own structure.

3.) You must find an enemy

The monoculture rewards the membership that engages in imperial conquest. By denouncing, humiliating, or otherwise dehumanizing a supposed enemy of the monoculture, the conqueror is rewarded in retweets, likes, upvotes, reblogs, and other arbitrary metrics of progress. The more targets that are attacked, the more points they receive. This naturally creates a structure that rewards any attack on anything, regardless of fault, through increasingly under-handed tactics.

Therefore, the monoculture exists to provide incentives for perpetual acts of dehumanization.

In the event of #solidarityIsForWhiteWomen, black membership attacked white membership, and when the agitators magnified the vitriol of the attack, white membership dehumanized itself in proportion to the vitriol.

In the event of #endFathersDay, the success of the trend was based entirely on fulfilling the required mandate of dehumanizing an enemy of the monoculture, in this case, fathers.

If there isn't an enemy, one must be found because there is no other way to collect points.

Conclusion

Even in the wake of two successful attempts at covert manipulation, the monoculture has not even attempted to fix its fundamental flaws. With the advent of [#NotYourShield](#), the three aforementioned fracture points were tested and broke yet again.

Citing the previous two attempts against the monoculture, they believed that #NotYourShield was yet another covert operation. They quickly **found an enemy**, (*chan*) and generated a **safe space**, (*“Those aren’t actual minorities, those are sockpuppets”*) but this time, the safe space had a **very limited amount of authorized identities***. (The gamer identity is now forbidden from the monoculture)

It turned out that the hashtag was actually comprised of a [significant amount of non-white racial identities as well as female identities](#). The monoculture leveled their sights on active membership, monoculture supporters, and potential monoculture inductees, and unloaded upon them.

This means that the agitators have successfully exploited the immutable weaknesses of the monoculture (two jabs and then... nothing. The monoculture took the lead and attacked its own) and can potentially weaponize the entire structure for their own usage. And because of the monoculture’s impulse to punish all criticism, the membership that sees this exploitation isn’t allowed to fix it, (they’ll be forced to fracture off entirely and build a new monoculture) and the membership that cannot see the exploitation are not allowed to hold such a heretical belief, allowing successful agitators to issue internal purges almost at will.

The entire monoculture of pixel-feminism has been demonstrably and irreversibly compromised by non-approved identities because of three fracture points: **the complete inability to handle identity emulation, the worship of an impossible standard of safety,** and **easily provoked bloodlust**. And if one identity (especially one the monoculture hates so much) can direct the wrath of the monoculture against its own kind, then other identities are free to steer it just as easily.

The Evolution of the Social Justice Orthodoxy

[Aug 9 2013]

One should always know the history of any religion they believe they are a part of.

The Marxian concept of class consciousness started by trying to leverage the yields of the Industrial Age to bring about a material version of European Christian redemption. As it failed to fulfill its promises, the thunderous applause it commanded at the beginning of the 20th century mutated into a desperate and often clumsy cacophony of identity politics. As this game of minority musical chairs evolved, the band continuously played the siren song of an unattainable yet highly romantic idea of equality. Such intoxicating chords acted as a factory that manufactured new political identities on demand. The outcome is obvious: If every person believes that the unattainable equality can only be achieved by replacing social interaction with self-regulating echo chambers of identity, then all methods of communication will carry an additional volume of risk that cannot be offset. Manufacturing political identities, then, is the only way the elite can retain control in the Age of Information.

[caption id="attachment_38" align="aligncenter" width="587"]

The Evolution of the Social Justice Orthodoxy

www.cultstate.com

Theist Phase
(Fear of Power)

Atheist Phase
(Hatred of Power)

Apotheosis Phase
(Lust of Power)

Agnostic Phase
(Skeptical of Power)

Judeo-Christian Thought



Jakob Bohme (1575-1624)

"Bohme preached that humanity had fallen from a state of divine grace to a state of sin and suffering, that the forces of evil included fallen angels who had rebelled against God, and that God's goal was to restore the world to a state of grace."

TLDR: The world is inherently broken and only the righteous can fix it.



Immanuel Kant (1724-1804)

"If one cannot prove that a thing is, he may try to prove that it is not. And if he succeeds in doing neither (as often occurs), he may still ask whether it is in his interest to accept one or the other of the alternative hypotheses, from the point of view of practical reason."

TLDR: Even if we can't fix the world, we must always assume that it can be fixed.



Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel (1770-1831)

"Central to Hegel's conception of knowledge and mind was the notion of identity in difference, that is that mind externalizes itself in various forms and objects that stand outside of it or opposed to it, and that, through recognizing itself in them, is 'with itself' in these external manifestations, so that they are at one and the same time mind and other-than-mind."

TLDR: Even if the world was fixed, we would subconsciously create divisions which would lead to the world being broken again.



Karl Marx (1818-1883)

"In the social production of their existence, men inevitably enter into definite relations, which are independent of their will, namely relations of production appropriate to a given stage in the development of their material forces of production. The totality of these relations of production constitutes the economic structure of society, the real foundation, on which arises a legal and political superstructure and to which correspond definite forms of social consciousness. The mode of production of material life conditions the general process of social, political and intellectual life. It is not the consciousness of men that determines their existence, but their social existence that determines their consciousness."

TLDR: We do not have an impulse to break the world. If we break the world, it is because we learned to do so from society and its masters.

Eurasian Thought



Vladimir Lenin (1870-1924)

"Workers of the world, unite! - Uneven economic and political development is an absolute law of capitalism. Hence the victory of socialism is possible, first in several, or even in one capitalist country taken separately. The victorious proletariat of that country, having expropriated the capitalists and organized its own socialist production, would stand up against the rest of the world, the capitalist world."

TLDR: We will force a unification of consciousness to fix the world!



Leonid Lukacs (1885-1971)

"According to Lukacs, the proletariat was the first class in history that was not determined by its material conditions, because of its specific position highlighted in the Communist Manifesto as the 'living negation' of capitalism. All other classes, including the bourgeoisie, are limited to 'false consciousness' which impede them from understanding the totality of history. Instead of understanding each specific moment as a portion of a supposedly deterministic historical process, they universalize it and believe it is everlasting."

TLDR: Only the meek are righteous enough to fix the world.



Joseph Stalin (1878-1953)

"Secondly, what we were building was not a bourgeois economy in which each pursues his own private interest and has no concern for the country as a whole and does not set himself the problem of the planned organization of the economy on a country-wide scale. No, it was a socialist society we were building. That means that we must take into account the requirements of society as a whole, that the economy of the whole of Russia must be organized in a planned and conscious way. That is undoubtedly a task of incomparably greater complexity and difficulty."

TLDR: Only the Russians are righteous enough to fix the world.



Mao Tse-Tung (1892-1976)

"When the superstructure (politics, culture, etc.) obstructs the development of the economic base, political and cultural changes become principal and decisive. Are we going against materialism when we say this? No. The reason is that while we recognize that in the general development of history the material determines the mental and social being determines social consciousness, we also - and indeed must - recognize the reaction of mental on material things, of social consciousness on social being and of the superstructure on the economic base. This does not go against materialism; on the contrary, it avoids mechanical materialism and firmly upholds dialectical materialism."

TLDR: Only the Chinese are righteous enough to fix the world.

American Thought



W. E. B. Du Bois (1868-1963)

"Du Bois saw double consciousness as a useful theoretical model for understanding the psycho-social divisions existing in the American society. He has asserted that these conflicts often occurred at both individual and group levels. Du Bois saw the prevalence of racism and figured out that sometimes peoples internalized their oppression. He called that having a double consciousness. 'It is a peculiar sensation, this double-consciousness, this sense of always looking at one-self through the eyes of others, of measuring one's soul by the tape of a world that looks on in amused contempt and pity.' This double consciousness lets the person see themselves through the revelation of the other world. Their behavior is influenced by what the other people think and is distorted through others' negative image of their race."

TLDR: Forget the world, what about me? I'm part of two consciousnesses!



Saul Alinsky (1909-1972)

"The job of the organizer is to maneuver and bait the establishment so that it will publicly attack him as a 'dangerous enemy.' The word 'enemy' is sufficient to put the organizer on the side of the people, to identify him with the Have-Nots, but it is not enough to endow him with the special qualities that induce fear and thus give him a means to establish his own power against the establishment. Here again we find that it is power and fear that are essential to the development of faith."

TLDR: The key to beating the upper class is by seducing the middle class.



Coretta Scott King (1927-1968)

"This focusing upon our own oppression is embodied in the concept of identity politics. We believe that the most profound and potentially most radical politics come directly out of our own identity, as opposed to working to end somebody else's oppression. In the case of Black women this is a particularly poignant, dangerous, threatening, and therefore revolutionary concept because it is obvious from looking at all the political movements that have preceded us that anyone is more worthy of liberation than ourselves. We reject pedestals, queenhood, and waving ten-pined banners. To be recognized as human, levelly human, is enough."

TLDR: Do part of our own oppression and end one of our oppressors. That is our consciousness.

Globalist Hybrid



Raymond Williams (1927-1983)

"The centrality attributed to the working class is not a practical but an ontological centrality, which is, at the same time, the seal of an epistemological privilege as the 'universal' class, the proletariat, or rather its party, is the repository of 'science'. At this point, the schism between class identity and the identity of the masses becomes permanent. The possibility of this authoritarian turn was, in some ways, present from the beginning of Marxist orthodoxy; that is to say, from the moment in which a limited sector - the working class - was raised to the status of 'universal class'."

TLDR: We have never cared about fixing the world. We have only cared about promoting our society.



Identity Politics (Today)

TLDR: I am _____ and if you aren't, then my identity will come after you!



CultState.com (Today)

"The Marxist concept of class consciousness started by trying to leverage the yields of the Industrial Age to bring about a material version of European Christian redemption. As it failed to fulfill its promises, the thousands of people it commanded at the beginning of the 20th century mutated into a desperate and often clumsy cacophony of identity politics. As this game of minority musical chairs evolved, the band continually played the siren song of an unattainable yet highly romantic idea of equality. Such utopianistic chimeras acted as a factory that manufactured new political identities on demand. The outcome is obvious: If every person believes that the unattainable equality can only be achieved by replacing social interaction with self-regulating echo chambers of identity, then all methods of communication will carry an additional volume of risk that cannot be offset. Manufacturing political identities, then, is the only way the elite can retain control in the age of information."

TLDR: Equality, the central theme for every permutation of social justice, is actually a religion.

A gradient chart showing the evolution of social justice theory over time.[/caption]

The Theory of Fracturing Monocultures

[Aug 14 2013]

Preface

The Internet has allowed a person to decouple their information experience from their physical characteristics. For example, a tall, white American male of heterosexual preference can legitimately create a persona of a short, black Nigerian female of homosexual preference and as long as he can explain what such an identity means to him, then others will be forced to accept that identity as valid because there are no other points of reference to utilize. As a result of this, a tremendous explosion of identity-oriented relationships have compelled people to accept the self-identification of another, regardless of other contributing factors of identity. This has resulted in turning every single person on the internet into an identity extremist. In this case study, I will put forth a theory on how to fracture these self-forming monocultures of identity so that they become trapped in a state of diminishing returns.

Actors: /pol/

First, we shall examine an identity known as /pol/. /pol/ is a political board on 4chan. People who self-identify as European nationalist (and related identities) as well as those who oppose such views tend to congregate there. The structure of the communication is just as important as the communication itself. It is anonymous by default. There are no user accounts and no history of usage as contributions to the board ultimately get deleted after a short time. Any identity criteria are voluntary and can be changed on a per post basis. The structure of communication allows for an extremely flexible expression of self-identification, and ironically, the mass amount of participants of the board rarely deviate from a European nationalist identity.

The only people who exploit said flexibility are those who opposed to the European nationalist identity. Very commonly, threads are derailed, content will be intentionally pushed off of the main page, and off-topic threads quickly dominate the content space. This results in perpetual agitation of the main community. However, because of their adherence to free speech, they refuse to fix this via policy and rarely issue bans even upon the most coordinated attempts of agitation. The agitation does not provide any significant criteria for education or conversion and, instead, is rooted in achieving identity revenge and low-hanging social justice objectives.

This fixation comes from the European nationalist identity being considered the most evil and disgusting of all identities since the rise of globalism. Because of this permanent friction, the European nationalist identity doubles down and takes a permanently defensive position, certain that at every possible moment, an agitator will very overtly attempt to provoke him because of his identity preference. Paranoia dominates the typical exchange, but when self-identity is expressed and mutually appreciated, the participants resonate in a much more meaningful fashion. They generally have collective enemies, (the internationalist Jewish banking system) collective heroes, (Military conquerors) collective fears, (Heritage displacement) and collective utopias. (Homogeneity)

In short, in order for someone to embrace a self-identification that the entirety of western civilization has spent the last 70 years actively demonizing and, in most cases, have made

illegal, there must be excessively compelling reasons for one to participate that go beyond the typical polemics and knee-jerk assumptions third-party observers are trained to repeat.

Actors: Pixel-based Feminism

In addition to allowing illegal and morally reprehensible self-identifications, the Internet also contains politically-sponsored and morally righteous self-identifications as well. Pixel-based Feminism is a self-identification where one engages in the expressions of female supremacy through electronic mediums. (Supremacy in relation to their current socioeconomic position, not in the absolutist sense) It has a massive social support network spanning high-traffic blog sites, content propagators, and forums that are highly identity-oriented. The structure of communication is based largely on point systems for social status. Views, followers, upvotes, comments, downloads, retweets, favorites, and many other arbitrary metrics are used isolate and deeply quantify the value of one person over another.

The primary way to game this economic system is through identity consolidation where anything that provides bombastic worship for female supremacy is considered good, while anything that does not is considered worthy of a fatwa. This incredibly simple consolidation is intuitive even to the newest of participants. Ironically, this self-identity has deep historical roots utilizing the most flexible interpretations of identity politics to its favor, but it is regulated by a very rigid regime of social status. None the less, they enjoy a monoculture that can be summoned on command to game non-anonymous systems very efficiently.

These various point-based status systems helps assist in the purging of agitators, and thus, reducing the exposure to criticisms which ultimately forms a monoculture. Free speech, as it is commonly understood in the West, is not tolerated and the status system helps eliminate that as well. Because being cut off from the identity consolidation greatly reduces one's chances at gaming the system, internal conflicts are rare, but quickly sorted out. They generally have collective enemies, (the patriarchy) collective heroes, (Feminist authors and sociologists) collective fears, (Loss of influence) and collective utopias. (Equality)

In short, as long as one subscribes to the idea that a quantifiable status system helps propagate emotional expressions, an individual will orient their self-identify in the interests of identity consolidation instead of one rooted in personal conclusions. Conflict is frequently avoided and constantly self-corrected. Foreign ideas have zero impact on the community as a whole.

The Theory

As a result of the difference in these communication structures, /pol/ is under constant criticism from feminist efforts (and affiliated identities they have collected over the century). Feminists, however, are very successful at protecting their monoculture through a layered approach:

- Feminist accusations of social inferiority are designed to win maximum consensus from unaffiliated audiences. When such people hear the branding of “sexist” or “racist” they will automatically equate the branded as “evil”
- Once branded as such, there is no way to achieve salvation. There is no bankruptcy process, penance, or jubilee event. The branding is designed to be permanent so that the branded is unable to extract any social influence whatsoever. Apologies are considered weakness and/or disingenuous. The branded must submit to the will of the brander for all time.
- Since they are ultimately trying to achieve points for their status systems, they can

conduct mass branding on a fairly consistent basis while finding ways to reduce the amount of effort and increase the efficiency of that branding.

- Because they can summon mobs by appealing to identity consolidation, they are able to shout down any opposing idea, and thus, protect themselves from non-feminist criticism.
- If pressed hard enough, they can appeal to the legal system and try their luck at finding protection behind civil rights legislation.

On the outside, they appear to be invincible. However, upon closer inspection of the status system, it is actually an imperial monoculture, not a voluntary one. Meaning, one has to submit their will to the identity consolidation to achieve leveraged gains. This means if two participants of the Feminist identity self-identify themselves as women, but one woman self-identifies some other aspect of herself as one thing while another woman self-identifies that same aspect as something else, conflict will occur because that aspect's influence will result in non-approved strategies to game the status system, and thus, be considered cheating.

This tiny difference, when compounded enough over time, can result in a fracturing of the monoculture. In theory, if a European nationalist identity could be proven to exist in that monoculture, then they would be targeted by the same branding they have judiciously handed out to others. Because this European nationalist identity is so closely tied to the common enemy of Feminism, (the patriarchy) not only would the monoculture be fractured, but those branded as "racist" will have to spend far more of their status influence just to participate in the monoculture, let alone command it as they previously had. Because the branding is eternal and irredeemable, when exposed to sustained branding, their entire apparatus will cost far more energy to operate than it puts out, and thus, put the monoculture into a state of diminishing returns.

Progressive Dependencies: Petrodollar and Exporting Inflation

[Aug 31 2013]

As political materialism compels the United States to expand credit and domestic spending, the USD inflates. This causes foreign nations to inflate their currency as well to lower the cost of their exports so Americans can continue purchasing exported goods with the now weaker USD. This process is a death spiral that saps wealth from America, first by destroying the purchasing power of the dollar, and again by driving the price of labor worldwide to even lower prices, allowing manufacturing (and now many services) to relocate abroad. This mechanism has been the primary cause of the tremendous wealth gains the rich have received since the 1970s.


Since the 1860s, there have been many attempts to “modernize” the world. This pressure comes from industrial age titans (and the banking cartels who promote inflation to justify their position) seeking new markets to emulate previous growth and maintain the progressive narrative that “*the future is always better.*” However, societies that have never had an industrial revolution cannot consume industrial age goods profitably. Therefore, the only option left is to transfer wealth from the original industrial nations into these post-Iron Age societies so that they will demand the goods of the industrial age titans. The petrodollar (Needing USD to buy the oil that powers the infrastructure to get your labor modernized) and exporting inflation (race-to-the-bottom wages for said labor) are the vehicles for that transference.

The moral smoke screen for this entire operation since the 1940s has been the “***religion of equality***” where the “noble savages” who have failed to demonstrate a technological mastery over nature have “***_so much wisdom to teach us_***” while *previously successful industrial societies are “evil, racist, imperialists who need to atone.”* This guilt-based narrative is the lash that forces compliance of even the most destabilizing of immigration policies, union destruction, tax reforms, corporate bailouts, identity politics, and every other manifestation of the “*progressive agenda*”.

[caption id=“attachment_49” align=“aligncenter” width=“704”]

Progressive Dependencies: Petrodollar and Exporting Inflation

www.cultstate.com



As political materialism compels the United States to expand credit and domestic spending, the USD inflates. This causes foreign nations to inflate their currency as well to lower the cost of their exports so Americans can continue purchasing exported goods with the now weaker USD. This process is a death spiral that it saps wealth from America, first by destroying the purchasing power of the dollar, and again by driving the price of labor worldwide to even lower prices, allowing manufacturing (and now many services) to relocate abroad. This mechanism has been the primary cause of the tremendous wealth gains the rich have received since the 1970s.

Since the 1860s, there have been many attempts to "modernize" the world. This pressure comes from industrial age titans (and the banking cartels who promote inflation to justify their position) seeking new markets to emulate previous growth and maintain the progressive narrative that "the future is always better." However, societies that have never had an industrial revolution cannot consume industrial age goods profitably. Therefore, the only option left is to transfer wealth from the original industrial nations into these post-Iron Age societies so that they will demand the goods of the industrial age titans. The petrodollar (Needing USD to buy the oil that powers the infrastructure to get your labor modernized) and exporting inflation (race-to-the-bottom wages for said labor) are the vehicles for that transference.

The moral smoke screen for this entire operation since the 1940s has been the "religion of equality" where the "noble savages" who have failed to demonstrate a technological mastery over nature have "so much wisdom to teach us" while previously successful industrial societies are "evil, racist, imperialists who need to atone." This guilt-based narrative is the lash that forces compliance of even the most destabilizing of immigration policies, union destruction, tax reforms, corporate bailouts, identity politics, and every other manifestation of the "progressive agenda".

Don't let the environmentalist narrative and the promises of alternative energy confuse you. Without foreign oil consumption, progressives would be unable to fund their socialist utopia. Not only have time-saving machines allowed for the end of slavery and the rise of feminism,

they have also magnified the shell game of financial capitalism, causing the “liberated” demographics to be the permanent vanguard of the financial elite.[/caption]

Every Single Social Justice Crusade Helps the Oligarchy

[Sep 10 2013]

Redistribution of wealth means to confiscate the assets of the weakest of the upper class (who are not savvy enough to buy legal protections against or bypass government force) into the lower classes so that they can spend their new-found income stream on the elite who dominate price controls.


Multiculturalism is a religion that teaches us that pre-Industrial non-Europeans are happy to work fourteen hour days in monotonous factory jobs or hard manual labor to produce cheap access to the basic goods that prop up post-Industrial European societies.

Identity politics is the modern tactic of “divide and conquer”. The local concerns of one powerless group that can be spun to be antithetic to the local concerns of another powerless group. As long as the powerless groups believe this, they will never unify against a common enemy.

Environmentalism is the religion that only the West is wise enough to wield the power of industrial growth and everyone else is too greedy or short-sighted to consume their own resources.

Equality is a conservative religion that declares human beings to be equally interchangeable widgets/processes within an industrial context. From this belief, creative efforts can be extracted from the human without having to acknowledge tribal impulses. The end result is that the powerless manage their creative potential in relation to the industrial context, resulting in minimal administrative effort for the elite.

Nationalized healthcare is the theory that subsidizing the poor with taxpayer money so they can afford insurance means that insurance companies won't try to use the poor as human shields when they raise rates arbitrarily to access more taxpayer money.



www.CultState.com

Karl Marx Is a Blood Relative of the Rothschilds.

[Nov 19 2013]

That awkward moment when you find out that Karl Marx, the architect of modern equality, is a member of the Rothschild bloodline, the architects of modern international banking.

SAME PERSON

The collage consists of five screenshots from the website fatlipdgree.com. Red arrows trace a path across the pages, highlighting connections between names. The pages shown are:

- Top Left:** Profile for David (ben LEONARD) Barent COHEN (born ~1710). Parents: Elie Abraham von MINDEN, Salomon David BARENT COHEN. Child: David (ben LEONARD) Barent COHEN.
- Top Right:** Profile for Barent COHEN (born ~1710). Parents: Isaac COHEN, Selig COHEN. Child: Barent COHEN.
- Middle Left:** A historical document snippet mentioning David Meyer Pressburg and his family.
- Middle Right:** Profile for Levi Barent COHEN (born Netherlands 1747, died ~1800). Parents: Luba DIAMANTSCHLEIER, Hans Barent COHEN. Child: Levi Barent COHEN.
- Bottom Left:** Profile for Karl Heinrich MARX (born Prussia 1818, died 1883 London). Parents: Moschehail MARX, Miriam Mosehail Levi ben SAMUEL MARX. Child: Karl Heinrich MARX.
- Bottom Right:** Profile for Lionel Walter (2nd Baron) ROTHSCHILD (born 1898, died 1957). Parents: Mayer Amoschel BAUER (ROTHSCHILD), Mayer Amoschel Bauer von ROTHSCHILD. Child: Lionel Walter (2nd Baron) ROTHSCHILD.

Red arrows connect 'David (ben LEONARD) Barent COHEN' to 'Barent COHEN', 'Barent COHEN' to 'Levi Barent COHEN', 'Levi Barent COHEN' to 'Karl Heinrich MARX', and 'Karl Heinrich MARX' to 'Lionel Walter (2nd Baron) ROTHSCHILD'.

EQUALITY

That awkward moment when you find out that Karl Marx, the architect of modern equality, is a member of the Rothschild bloodline, the architects of modern international banking.

Equality junkies have been supporting the expansion of international banking for over 150 years.

Table of Contents

New Emotion	2
Gnostic Warfare	5
What Is a Cult State?	7
The Pivot	14
The Butterfly War	17
The Ravens Will Starve	23
The Butterfly War Begins	25
The War on Spontaneous Global Organization	27
Challenging the Profit Motives of Globalism Versus Nationalism	28
The Shadow Emperor Hedge	31
Cyber War Cometh	34
The Psychology of Modern American Monocultures	35
The Paradox of the Black Feminist Panda: Applying Godel's Incompleteness Theorem to Intersectionality	41
Petrocurrency Mercantilism	44
Exploiting the Background Ideological War as a Cheaper, Faster, and More Effective Marketing Strategy	45
Modern Censorship: How SJWs Manufacture Consent by Converting Ideas Into Spirals of Silence	47
We Are All Terrorists Now	49
After Sixty-Five Years, France Finally Admits the End of Continental National Socialism	50
Brenden Eich, the Latest Sacrifice for the Cult of Equality	51
Symbol Worship	53
Censorship	54
The Putin Short and the Drone Long: The Rise of Petrocurrency Mercantilism	56

The Experiments in Fracturing Monocultures	58
The Evolution of the Social Justice Orthodoxy	61
The Theory of Fracturing Monocultures	64
Progressive Dependencies: Petrodollar and Exporting Inflation	67
Every Single Social Justice Crusade Helps the Oligarchy	70
Karl Marx Is a Blood Relative of the Rothschilds.	71